Bad Meat and Brown Bananas:
Building a Legacy of Health by Confronting Health Disparities Around Food

By David C. Sloane
For the African Americans Building a Legacy of Health Coalition /REACH 2010 Project

What do planners have to do with food? Since 1999, community residents, community organizations and researchers in planning and health have been working to understand food security in the Los Angeles area and to increase options for healthier eating in low-income communities of color. This participatory partnership is using planning and organizing techniques to build capacity among community members to make healthier environments for all. [Cont. on page 7]
The SEVENTH GENERATION

“In our every deliberation, we must consider the impact of our decisions on the next seven generations.”

- From the Great Law of the Iroquois Confederacy

Planners and Equitable Food Distribution

By Katherine Crewe

There is a growing concern among planners about the neglect of food as part of the profession’s planning agenda. This awareness has coincided with pressures for government legislation about food, a growth in public and private agencies committed to food security and a grass roots spread of farmers’ markets and community gardens throughout North America. This awareness has also coincided with, and responded to, an acknowledged crisis in obesity, a spread of diseases related to malnourishment and the rapid and widespread loss of farmland.

There are reasons for this neglect. In recent articles on the relation between food and planning, Kami Pothukuchi and Jerome Kassiman identified a prevalent belief that food is not “the business of planners” (see resources list in this issue). They also noted a pre-emptive focus on transportation, housing and the environmental crisis over the last few decades. Above all they show the absence of a guiding vision about urban and rural interconnections since Britain’s Ebenezer Howard over a century ago. Howard’s message, though radical and comprehensive, was selectively interpreted by US planners and Garden Cities became garden suburbs, while Howard’s legacy of a comprehensive physical scheme to integrate rural and metropolitan economies was ignored, as were their social reform goals. In two concepts of cooperative land ownership, working agricultural greenbelts and comprehensively designed residential communities with productive farms. Following Howard, leaders of the emerging field of regional planning, such as Rees-Tugwell and Clarence Stein, never included food production as part of their urban economies, for all their concerns about preserving farmland. More recently, the new urbanism focuses on urban design has once again bypassed the serious question of food production, food distribution and food equity.

Contributors to this Progressive Planning food issue have all echoed the call for planning agendas that use technical expertise to integrate rural with urban, to help link food producers with consumers and to help link unused sites and facilities with groups in need. Contributors have also urged that city and regional planners acknowledge the complexity of the food network, and use performance indicators to monitor trends and conditions. Planning needs to be consciously vigilant in the face of a global food economy, mounting health crises and strong disincents among public consumers to alter their purchasing habits.

This issue of Progressive Planning engages with markets. Markets are considered in strategies to attract supermarkets to inner city areas, link farmers to individual buyers and institutional purchasers, resist chain globalisation by creating local markets to produce and even sell the produce of community gardens. However, contributors are not of one mind. Most are excited about linking farmers to consumers. However, several examine the difficulties of distributing locally produced food to a population beyond the “privileged few,” while ensuring that farmers and food producers receive a fair price for their produce (Laura Stroud). These controversies will also appear in the next issue of Progressive Planning, where in a point counter point exchange continuing this emphasis on food, Gill Chin Lui proposes that in North Korea, suffering from chronic and far reaching food shortages, needs to shift from a centralised distribution system toward a market system, along with other changes: “This change would put emphasis on foreign food aid, one form of the global circulation of food. In response, Tom Angotti, points out the problems with market systems elsewhere, that increasingly concentrate control of the food supply in the hands of large corporations. Overall, the articles in this issue and the next one raise important questions about what markets model wise and how to regulate them.

There are reports of significant progress in integrating food with broader policy initiatives. At the national level, Kai Seidenburg describes the Community Food Security Act (on page 8).

GUIDELINES FOR AUTHORS

Progressive Planning seeks articles that describe and analyze progressive physical, social, economic and environmental planning and rural or urban areas. Articles may be up to 2,000 words. They should be addressed to the Editor or the magazine’s editorial board. The editors may make minor changes, but any substantial rewriting will be checked with the author. A photograph or illustration may be included. Submissions on disk or by email are greatly appreciated. Send the Editor at tangot@hunter.cuny.edu or Planners Network, c/o Hunter College Department of Urban Planning, 695 Park Ave., New York, NY 10021. Fax: 212-772-5593. Deadlines are January 1, April 1, July 1 and October 1.

Upcoming Topics (articles welcome):

Walls or Bridges? Rebuilding Communities (IP 2004 Conference theme)
New York City: Ground Zero and the Neighborhoods
The 2004 Elections
Philadelphia’s Food Trust and Supermarket Access

By Hannah Burton

While Philadelphia is similar in many ways to other large urban areas in terms of demographic and poverty statistics, it has the second lowest number of supermarkets per capita of major cities in the nation. The urban grocery store gap clearly results from the complex interplay between trends in the supermarket industry and patterns in urban development and the economy. The public sector has a key role to play in creating a more favorable environment for supermarket development. The Food Trust in Philadelphia, a non-profit advocacy group, has been working to create such an environment.

Based on our research of successful urban grocery stores, it was clear that public-private partnerships were critical to developing successful projects. Therefore, the Food Trust chose to convene the Food Marketing Task Force to give members of the public and private sector an opportunity to hear each other’s perspective on the issue and to work together to identify how actions by the public sector could create a more favorable environment for food retail. In April of 2005, the Food Trust convened a thirty-five-member Food Marketing Task Force, composed of leaders from the Philadelphia metro region supermarket industry, civic government and the civic sector. The Task Force is chaired by Christine James Brown, President and CEO of the United Way of Southeastern Pennsylvania, and Walter Rohr, Director of government and community affairs for Acme Markets. The Task Force has been directed by Philadelphia City Councilwoman Blondell Reynolds Brown to produce a report by the spring of 2004 recommending short-term and long-term policies to improve the availability of affordable and nutritious food in underserved areas of the city. (The strategies of the Food Marketing Task Force are discussed below.)

While successful supermarket development needs to make sense from a business perspective, the public sector has a key role to play in overcoming the higher costs associated with urban development. The Food Trust’s partnerships with leaders from the supermarket industry, public sector and civic sector have allowed us to identify the following four barriers to supermarket development:

1. Regulatory

Attracting new supermarket development requires addressing the higher costs associated with zoning regulations, tax burdens and complex government processes in center cities. The regulatory obstacles to supermarket development are not currently on the agenda of major urban planners.

2. Financing

The supermarket industry needs public support to overcome the higher costs of urban investment. Two major funding sources, LISC and Fannie Mae, which provided financing for urban supermarket projects in the 1990s, no longer have dedicated funding for supermarket development. This is a gap that must be filled.

3. Land Assembly

There is a mismatch between urban land availability and standard supermarket formats. While industries need to develop more flexible store designs for urban neighborhoods, the public sector needs to increase the number of adequate sites by prioritizing among many competing uses (including housing and commercial corridor reinvestment).

4. Market Analysis

For years the poor perception of inner city market opportunities has contributed to underinvestment in these communities. Recent research suggests that such inner city market assessments have been marked by the poor quality and unavailability of reliable market information. The public sector should aggressively pursue market analysis techniques rather than relying on a risk-averse private sector.

Public Sector Strategies for Promoting Supermarket Development

The Food Trust is currently working with several public agencies to develop specific recommendations for change, including the Commerce Department, Planning Commission, the Office of Housing and Neighborhood Development, Philadelphia Industrial Development Commission, the Neighborhood Transformation Initiative, the Philadelphia Empowerment Zone and many others. While the work of the Food Marketing Task Force has not yet produced any tangible results on the ground, the work of the Food Marketing Task Force is moving supermarkets onto the agendas of urban planners.
The Food Marketing Task Force members have developed the following list of potential public sector strategies to attract more supermarkets to inner-city neighborhoods:

- Adopt food retail as a priority for comprehensive neighborhood development.
- Reduce regulatory barriers to supermarket investment.
- Maximize impact of public incentives on supermarket site location decisions.
- Offer grant-based subsidies and low-cost, long-term flexible financing to the supermarket industry.
- Develop a state grant pool to fund local supermarket development projects.
- Work with real estate developers to assemble land for supermarket development.
- Employ innovative data-driven market assessment techniques to highlight upzoned markets in underserved communities.
- Identify targeted market areas and promote them to real estate developers and the supermarket industry.

The success of these strategies will depend on an ongoing partnership between the public and private sector that will bring long-term benefits to Philadelphia’s neighborhoods. An improved food retail environment will also require new ways of thinking about the role of city government in attracting businesses to underserved communities. Conventional ways of doing business have not sufficed. The public sector needs to take a more proactive stance to ensure that as supermarkets return to urban communities, they maximize their returns to their neighborhoods by hiring local construction firms and employees, stocking their shelves with culturally appropriate foods and maintaining high-quality store environments. The successes of new urban supermarkets in cities as diverse as Rochester, Houston and Boston have shown that these steps make good business sense as well.

Hannah Burton is the program coordinator at The Food Trust. The Food Marketing Task Force will release its final recommendations along with implementation strategies to Philadelphia’s city council in the spring of 2004. For more information about The Food Trust’s supermarket campaign, please see www.thefoodtrust.org.

Sloane [cont. from page 1]

In 1999, a Los Angeles health advocacy organization, the Community Health Councils, Inc. (CHC), was awarded a grant from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) to develop a plan to address health disparities in cardiovascular disease and diabetes among African Americans in South Los Angeles. The grant was part of a nationwide CDC demonstration program, REACH (Racial and Ethnic Approaches to Community Health), focusing on a single racial or ethnic group and only on issues related to the selected illnesses. The Community Health Councils spent a year in conversations with a broad coalition of African American community and social service organizations as well as community residents. African Americans Building a Legacy of Health (AABH) ended up with a plan that targets young adults in North Long Beach, Ingleswood and portions of South Los Angeles for three strategic directions: recruiting community norms through community education; supporting policy and institutional change through community empowerment; and (the one that this article focuses on) creating economic parity through community development. The AABH believed that only through a comprehensive approach of education, empowerment and development could African Americans hope to diminish the insidious impacts of cardiovascular disease and diabetes on their community.

In 2000, the Community Health Councils was chosen to be one of roughly a dozen projects nationwide to receive four-year funding to implement its plan. The plan addresses not only traditional public health activities such as providing symposiums, worksite wellness programs, support groups and community wellness events, but it also assesses the nutritional resource environment with a view to creating a better quality-of-life. This focus is part of a growing initiative, as public health researchers have turned to urban planners for help with a growing number of health concerns, most prominently obesity, clearly related to the urban environment.

This project has relied on a close collaboration between health and planning researchers and community residents and organizations. Using a community-based participatory research model, the AABH has engaged scholars from USC and UCLA to evaluate and consult with the project. The methods of the project have been to educate community residents, data, participate fully in the development of all instruments, procedures, implementation plans, data analysis and presentation of all findings.

We focused on the nutritional resource environment after community residents had articulated their frustration over a lack of access to healthy foods in their communities. The AABH chose to investigate the current system by performing an inventory of existing nutritional services, specifically markets and restaurants, and then use that data to challenge gaps in the existing system. Community organizations such as churches and social service groups were subsidized to conduct inventories in markets (and later restaurants) in their communities. The inventory was structured to investigate the availability of healthy food in local stores, and also the selections, the freshness and quality and the general level of service.

Community members inventoried 261 stores in South Los Angeles, Inglewood and North Long Beach (the ‘target’ area), which is about 6 percent of the total African American population and median household income of $29,257. These findings were compared to inventories of sixty-nine stores in West Los Angeles neighborhoods (the ‘control’ area), which had an 8 percent African American population and a median household income of $45,917. The stores in the contrast area were inventoried by USC planning students. These inventories were then supplemented with an in-depth survey of seventy-one stores in the two comparison areas that looked more closely at the specific services offered.

The differences were dramatic. Only 2 percent of the stores in the poorer neighborhoods offered whole-grain pasta compared to 31 percent in the contrast area. Just 70 percent of the target stores offered fresh fruit or vegetables, compared to 94 percent of the West L.A. stores. The target area stores offered half the selection of produce as those in the contrast area—thirteen fruits and twenty-one vegetables compared to twenty-six fruits and thirty-eight vegetables. Furthermore, the quality of fruits and vegetables was significantly lower in the target area stores. Overall, stores in the target area were significantly less likely to offer fruits and vegetables, whole wheat pasta, nonfat milk or low-fat snacks. Contrast stores were more likely to be supermarkets (with more diverse offerings) to be cleaner and to provide better service.

These findings should suggest to community and economic development planners how hard it is for individuals in low-income neighborhoods to live a healthy life. The disparities in health conditions reflect the inequities in the nutritional resource environment. Health care advocates and educators can develop successful interventions that teach individuals the importance of eating five fruits and vegetables a day, but if they can’t buy them, or don’t want to...
buy brown bananas, society loses, city health services are burdened and communities are damaged.

The project is currently completing analysis of the restaurant results and moving to challenge the gaps in the market offerings. Working with other Southern California residents, advocating for more equitable and sustainable food security systems, the AABLI coalition hopes to change the nutritional resource environment, providing all residents with a local food system to live a healthy life. In addition, through its innovative methodology, it is enhancing community capacity to assess other aspects of the economic environment, challenge simplistic pro-

7th Generation (cont. from page 2)

Coalition, an alliance of 250 organizations which identify shared interests among health professionals, community groups, local leaders and local growers and bring assistance to low-income and vulnerable populations. The Coalition offers technical and leadership training to local communities and has so far been responsible for a federal Food Projects grant program, a Senior Farmers’ Market Program, a Farm to Cafeteria Program for schools, the California Food and Justice Coalition and the 1996 Farm Bill The Coalition is responsible for the widely accepted concept of community food security (CFS) meaning the public’s right to equal access to wholesome food. At the metropolitan scale, Mark Winn describes how the city of Hartford’s Commission on Food Policy includes food issues as part of its overall economic development, environmental protection and farm preservation policies. For the inner city, Hannah Burton stresses the public sector’s role in overcoming inner city development costs through targeted deregulation and providing financing to compensate for LDC and Fannie Mae’s withdrawal from supermarket lending. The Trust has also applied supermarket formats not conventionally suited for inner city and works with community organizations to foster a local sense of ownership. Community gardens are an important food initiative in urban areas as well. In Toronto, Nancy Reid describes how the city’s Food Policy Council has identified potential garden spaces in industrial areas, and is working with local community, corporations to foster a local sense of ownership. Land use is a key concern in all urban gardening projects, as Martin Halley notes, and planners would do well to fine tune their working classifications beyond the accepted residential, commercial and industrial categories. This accords with a growing interest in rethinking urban and suburban recreational space.

The problems of malnutrition, and the scarcity of good food in low-income urban neighborhoods compared with affluent ones, is a key social equity issue. In “Bad Meat and Brown Bananas,” David Stote notes the poor availability of healthy food in inner city grocery stores. He reports on a comparative study of food offerings in 60 stores in three low income Los Angeles communities that showed a significant absence of whole grain foods and fresh produce compared with wealthier neighborhoods. Higher food prices in inner city grocery stores would stretch budgets and exacerbate the problem. However, there are remedies. Kadi Potorkuchi discusses ways planners who are versed in grocery retail markets might take advantage of the potential for retail saturation in the suburbs to encourage retailers to be adventurous and consid-

files of community problems and draws researchers and officials in a dialogue about improving community life. In other words, creating a more equitable environment where residents are empowered to guide policies and programs in their community. That sounds like planning to me.

David C. Stote is associate professor in the School of Policy, Planning and Development at the University of Southern California and a sub-

The article is based on an article in the Journal of General Internal Medicine that appeared in July 2003.

The distribution of farm produce to local markets in the US is also of concern to planners. In separate articles on Wisconsin, Laura Stouffer and Heather Stouder note that, in spite of the state’s rich farming economy, very little is marketed locally and small farms are rapidly going out of business. One key solution is efficient distribution and processing of food; another is marketing to large public bodies such as schools and hospitals. However, such institu-

tions, typical have low budgets and heavy regu-

latory constraints, draw on centralized production facilities and an established pool of commodity food purchases from large food brokers hundred of miles away. Constraints aside, however, this link between local farms and large institutions offers an important opportunity for local farmers, and a substantial supplement to small-scale farmers’ mar-

kets, community supported agriculture farms, community gardens and food cooperatives.

For small farmers and food growers in rural districts, the internet has also become an important way to communicate and market their products. Through websites and listservs, groups can both identify markets and advertise, while interested consumers can go to locate markets and food stores. Loren Talbot discusses how the growing use of online data-

bases and GIS (Geographic Information Systems) by farmers and food consumers helps create sustain-

able rural communities on the urban fringe.

Overall, contributions to this issue reveal four key ways for planners to help with equitable food distribution.

1. Address the market economy. Planners can help reverse the global food economy by facilitating more equitable local markets that respond to the needs of all people, particularly the most vulnerable sections of the population. Planning can strengthen urban-rural connections, provide information to both producers and consumers and help reduce dependency on the global marketplace. For inner cities, this may include studying grocery chains’ requirements and the health needs of consumers and finding ways to meet them. For the region, this means identifying buyers of farm produce and promoting effective food distribution.

2. Use site planning and land use. Vacant sites, old warehouses and loading docks in cities are key to food production and circulation; these need to be identified and used creatively.

3. Provide technical assistance and analysis. The complexity of food issues demands high levels of technical assistance. Planners can compile relevant data, analyze connections between multiple interest groups and assess impacts of innovative policies, noting trends.

4. Promote food security policy. Integrate food security into all city, regional and state goals rather than leave it for someone else to care about.

Obviously there is likely to be a greater role for planners in food security. Progress in the planning field may be incremental, involving one project at a time. However, in time, planners’ knowledge and expertise can shape food security in ways that create equity and environmental balance.

Job Description
Assistant Professor in Community Development and Planning
Clark University – Fall 2004

Clark University seeks to fill a position in community development and planning at the assistant professor level to begin Fall 2004. Ph.D. or equivalent in relevant discipline is required. ABDs will be considered. Significant practical or applied experience. Ability to work with local communities and action is essential. Willingness to work with Latino populations and in English is preferred. Candidates should be able to teach courses focusing on local development and action as well as undergraduate courses. Areas of expertise are open, but we would be particularly interested in candidates specializing in one or more of the following: sustainable community development; urban resource management, data, and public policy; urban policy; planning, conflict mediation, financial management, housing, or community empowerment. The initial appointment will be for a three-year term.

Clark’s interdisciplinary programs in International Development, Community, and Environment (http://www.clarku.edu/ide/ide.html) include a dynamic core faculty and a large group of affiliate faculty engaged in interdisciplinary teaching, research, and program activities. Applicants should show a strong commitment to working collaboratively within such a cross-disciplinary program. Interested individuals should send a detailed letter of application, C.V., and the names and addresses of three references to Chair, CDP Search Committee, P.O. Box 470, 125 Foster Street, Worcester, MA 01610. Review of applications will begin January 15, 2004. AA/EOE Women and minorities are especially encouraged to apply.
Inner City Grocery Retail: What Planners Can Do

By Kami Pothukuchi

After decades of disinvestment, supermarkets are slowly returning to some inner-city neighborhoods. This is due in large part to the retail saturation of suburban areas and some communities’ efforts to attract stores to previously underserved neighborhoods. This article looks at some of these inner-city developments from around the country and asks what planners might learn from them.

Low-income and low-mobility residents who do not have access to a local supermarket pay many costs: in dollars, due to the higher prices of food pur chased in nearby convenience stores, and in health, due to the availability of fewer healthful food choices; and in time, given the greater effort required for food shopping. Recent studies suggest that negative health outcomes result from lack of access to super markets (see resources list at the end of this issue). Neighborhood economies also suffer: from both the presence of jobs that supermarkets can create and the multiplier effects they can have on the rest of the neighborhood.

The Initiative for a Competitive Inner City (1998) estimates that inner cities continue to be under-retailed by about 25 percent. HUD’s New Markets report (1998) found $8.7 billion total shadow in retail sales in forty-eight cities in which a retail gap existed. Based on national ratios of supermarket to all retail (US Census of Retail Trade, 1997), and by a conservative estimate, Chicago could absorb about forty-seven new supermarkets with annual sales of about $7 million each. Similarly, Detroit could absorb about nine.

The conventional wisdom informing grocery supermarket location, until recently, wrote off inner-city neighborhoods as deficient. Recent studies that included average household incomes, problems associated with land assembly and cleanup, higher costs of development finance, community opposition to new stores, even anti-development campaigns, with recruitment and retention of trained staff and the perceptions and realities of crime. All of these meant higher costs of store development and operation, and lower returns.

Increasingly, some supermarket chains are looking more favorably at inner-city locations. What does this mean for improved food retail in inner-city neighborhoods?

What can Planners Learn from these Developments?

A number of factors have contributed to the change in thinking on the part of supermarket chains regarding these new markets:

• Many regions are experiencing retail saturation in suburban areas, leading chains to look for new and previously ignored markets. Some of these, already established in a particular region, seek to expand to previously ignored inner city locations, while others wish to move to new regions and see inner-city location as a foothold into these new markets.

• Market conditions in some inner cities have improved due to population growth, spurred by immigration and gentrification.

• New research calls into question older methods of assessing market potential for grocery retail, showing greater spending potential in many inner-city counties than heretofore believed. These studies consider not just average incomes, but also population densities, food purchasing patterns in low-income and ethnic minority household, and a large and previously underestimated—cash economy. In short, they consider densities of dollars available for food retail in particular neighborhoods.

• In many locations, stores—chains and independents—are creatively adapting to the physical and cultural contexts of inner cities, turning what had been considered as obstacles into opportunities.

City Initiatives to Attract Supermarkets

Three cities in particular have achieved success in supermarket development in multiple sites, including bothlobber and non-neighborhood-oriented

• Rochester, New York; Dallas, Texas; and Chicago, Illinois. These communities have engaged in market studies, identified multiple target sites for store location, assembled recruitment packages offered competitively to grocery chains and successfully recruited chains from outside the region.

This citywide and competitive approach is in sharp contrast to the more typical approach in which planners chase after regional leaders to open a store in a particular low-income neighborhood.

These initiatives are also characterized by strong support from political leadership and public involvement, and, in Rochester and Chicago, productive partnerships involving community-based nonprofits.

In Dallas, following a 1990 study showing a paucity of supermarkets in predominantly low-income South Dallas, the only chain that responded to planners’ recruitment efforts was Houston-based Fiesta Mart. The city negotiated a comprehensive package of financial incentives and asked the store to develop a minimum of five sites, of which three were built. The first, a 45,000 square foot store on Jefferson Boulevard, was extremely successful. These incentives, conditional upon the development of five stores in the city’s Enterprise Zones, have also attracted local chains that successfully developed stores, including Minyard Food Stores.

In Rochester, following pressure put on by residents in the Upper Falls area and a grassroots organization, Partners through Food, Mayor William A. Johnson, Jr. ran his re-election campaign promising a new supermarket in the neighborhood. Despite several setbacks from city planners, locally-headquartered Wegmans showed in the city council and in the area. “Top Ten Markets” of America and Inc., the region’s second-ranked chain, however, wanted to develop multiple stores in Rochester to counter Wegmans expansion into its own home base, Buffalo. The city offered a five-store package, including one at Upper Falls. A 23,000 square foot Sh-Kwir Market, operated by Tops, successfully anchored a newly developed 75,000 square foot shopping center in this neighborhood.

In Chicago, the Retail Chicago program simplified the city’s retail development process, designating a single agency to address the multiple concerns of potential developers. Based on input from a consortium of business, community and economic development leaders, and LISC (the Local Initiatives Support Corporation) and its subsidiary, The Retail Initiative, Inc., the program trained existing agency in-house staff and reduced development and operational costs. Many inner-city stores are among their top revenue generators.

Community Partnerships in Supermarket Developments

In a few other cities, such as Newark, New Jersey; New York; New Haven, Connecticut; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; Atlanta, Georgia; and Detroit, Michigan, non-profit community development corporations (CDCs) have forged partnerships with supermarkets in developments offering mutual benefits to participating chains and communities. CDCs have leveraged capital from public and foundation sources to develop retail facilities that are anchored by supermarkets. To varying degrees, CDCs have also helped local supermarket residents for supermarket jobs, provided security patrols, helped stores identify appropriate product lines and resolved conflicts between stores and residents.

CDCs have helped build a greater sense of ownership of the store and helped stores be responsive to neighborhood concerns. Neighborhoods have benefited from greater access to culturally appropriate products and services, and jobs and economic vitality and a greater say in some store decisions. For their part, stores have also profited—from the loyalty created by coming into neighborhoods that went years, if not decades, without a grocery store; from greater connection to neighborhoods that represented departures from their conventional clientele; and from reduced development and operational costs. Many inner-city stores are among their top revenue generators.

Partnerships offer valuable community capital to individual developments and may represent the difference between failure and ongoing success for the supermarket.

Partnerships offer valuable community capital to individual developments and may represent the difference between failure and ongoing success for the supermarket. However, just as chains need to be recruited competitively for inner-city development, so too must partnerships be planned carefully; not all CDCs effectively represent their communities, and not all may have the capacity to partner in the complex kind of deals that are required for a successful inner-city store.

Inner-city supermarket developments have also occurred purely out of private initiative, without public incentives or partnerships with non-
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Food System Planning: Setting the Community's Table

By Mark Winne

Food system planning is a relatively new concept that grows out of American society’s increasing concern for what it eats, where and how its food is produced and the inequities that exist in the distribution of food resources. Like other planning activities, food system planning is context-specific and happens in a specific place, whether a neighborhood, city, state or region. It is this association with a particular place—one that citizens can readily identify and that consumers increasingly wish to influence—that makes food system planning a concrete and necessary enterprise. In Connecticut, Hartford has been leading the way in integrating food system planning into its wider planning agenda. While lacking teeth to implement policies that raise the issue of food security as a planning problem, Hartford, and Connecticut more generally, nonetheless provide an important model for the rest of the country.

The Food System

The failure of both the global food system and marketplace to meet the needs of a substantial number of food system stakeholders has given further impetus to food system planning. Housing and commercial development place extra pressure on farmland. Chain supermarkets—typically the retail food outlets with the best prices and highest quality—are often unavailable in lower-income urban areas. Food assistance programs like food stamps and child nutrition (school breakfast, lunch and summer meal programs) are not sufficient to prevent hunger and food insecurity. The increase in obesity and diabetes, fed in part by questionable food industries, is shaping the “super-sizing” of portions has made diet a major public health issue.

A food system is generally understood to be the chain of activities connecting food production, processing, distribution, consumption and waste management. Not only does it include the diverse system of agriculture that produces our food, it also includes the natural resource base, ecosystem, soil and such natural systems as regional watersheds, underground aquifers and the inputs necessary to sustain soil fertility. The secondorial idea extends the food system concept further to include processing facilities, transportation systems, warehousing and distribution centers, supermarkets, restaurants, farmers markets and farm stands and of course, consumers. In the case of hunger and food insecurity, food banks, food pantries, soup kitchens, community kitchens, elderly feeding programs and the entire array of fourteen USDA food assistance programs must be considered as integral parts of the food system.

The relation of governments to food systems goes far beyond feeding the needy. Regulatory functions—from USDA border inspections of imports to local health inspections of restaurants—constitute a complex network of domestic food safety. Even gov

Environmental authorities and institutions that are not obviously associated with food influence our food system. Transportation systems, for instance, can promote or deter sprawl, which affects farmland. Local school districts can purchase food from local farmers to improve farmers’ market opportunities, restrict student access to vending machines that dispense unhealthy food and increase nutrition education to promote healthy eating behaviors. Economic development officials can provide incentives to developers to locate supermarkets in underserved areas and work with transportation planners to ensure that transit-dependent populations have easy access to quality food outlets.

Planning and the Food System

The list of opportunities for fruitful cooperation is long, but the disincentives for food system planning are strong. Both the lack of venues and low acceptance levels by the planning field present major 40
impediments. Ken Dahlberg, of Western Michigan University and one of the leading proponents of local food systems, notes that no US city has a “Department of Food.” A few state departments of agriculture have the word “food” in their title, but rarely do their authority extend beyond the production end of the food chain. Planning departments do not as yet generally recognize the food system as a unique area of concern requiring special attention. As Kami Pothukuchi and Jerome Kaufmann point out in the Spring 2000 issue of the American Planning Association, “The food system is notable by its absence from the (writing) of planning scholars, from the plans prepared by planning practitioners and from the classrooms in which planning students are taught.”

The best examples of food system planning to date may in fact be in Connecticut. Hartford, the state’s capital, is among the first cities in the country to have a municipal food policy council, while Connecticut is the first state to have a state food policy council. In addition, the Capitol Region Council of Governments in Hartford, the largest in the state, including farm regions (the Journal of Transportation and Department of Agriculture, agreeing to work together on a joint effort. Perhaps most importantly, the state government has established a specific food security goal for the state (an end to hunger by 2010) and identified the methods by which that goal would be attained.

The most interesting development for food system planning, however, may lie with the Capitol Region Council of Governments, which included two chapters on food system issues for their Regional Plan of Development 2002. The two chapters—one titled “Open Space and Farmland Preservation” and the other “Food System”—identify the food and farming challenges facing the Capitol Region. These include the need to preserve farmland, the development and maintenance of transportation systems that allow all residents to receive public health consequences of unhealthy diets and the need to increase the composting of food wastes.

This seminar work in food system planning drew on previous efforts to highlight these issues, including the work of the two food policy councils cited above. Connecticut’s food policy networks are also built on the Connecticut State Plan of Conservation and Development, which provides general guidance to the state’s 90 municipalities on how to manage their growth. The Plan’s 1998-2003 vision states that “Connecticut needs the need for the state to preserve farmland and its long-term food production capacity (skills, markets, infrastructure, natural resources) to ensure food security. The CRCOG document renews these recommendations and applies them to the particularities of its 32-town region.”

Do these efforts truly constitute food system planning? While none of the above agencies have the authority to implement or require action, they clearly have taken many steps which have and will influence the direction of their local, regional or state food system. Their efforts may not be as comprehensive or detailed as, say, a region’s master transportation plan or a city’s economic development plan, but they do have a vision for what they want their food system to look like. They have taken additional steps as well, including inventories and assessments of their food system’s assets, needs and the roles that different sectors, agencies and organizations should play.

Though lacking authority and reputable budgets, these entities have become de facto food system planning agencies that perform the following functions:

- Set goals, establish performance indicators and report trends and results;
- Regularly convene the area’s “food system experts,” including representatives from local government agencies, food system groups, heads, food processors, supermarket operators, transportation planners and health experts;
- Gather, analyze, and disseminate information that increases understanding of the food system and its many components among policymakers and the general public;
- Place food, nutrition and agriculture issues squarely before all government agencies that have the authority, resources and skills to implement the food system-related recommendations; and
- Conduct projects and activities that require coordinated action.

Two USDA agencies—the Cooperative State Research Education and Extension Service (CSREES) and the Risk Management Agency (RMA)—have bolstered food system planning efforts with small grants to support development of food plans. In addition to Connecticut, councils are currently operating at the state level in Iowa, New Mexico, Oklahoma and Utah. At the city or regional levels, councils can be found in Austin, Texas, Berkeley, California; Knoxville, Tennessee; Toronto, Ontario, Canada; and Portland City/Multnomah County, Oregon. Several other cities and states are either planning for councils or have one in the early stages of development.

While its limitations are many and its history short, food system planning and its most common vehicle, food policy councils, are rapidly emerging in response to an ever expanding agenda of food, agricultural, and environmental concerns. Community food activists of all stripes are joining forces with policy-makers and government agency staff to shape a food system that promotes food security, access to healthy and affordable food and a viable, regional agricultural foundation.

Mark Winne is a food and society policy fellow, a fellowship funded in part by the W.K. Kellogg Foundation. In October, 2003 Mark left the Hartford Food System, where he had been the execuc- tive director for more than 25 years. After leaving Hartford and member of the Hartford Advisory Commission on Food Policy and the Connecticut Food Policy Council. He can be reached at mwinne@hartfordfood.org.
Food Problems: A Structural Model and the North Korean Case

By Gill-Chin Lim

It would be sad if the history of food were to end with the word famine.

—Nagelhomme Toussaint-Samat

Famine: Its Past and Present

Throughout human history we have witnessed horrifying disasters brought about by famine. The Great Famine of Ireland—the Potato Famine—began in 1845 and took the lives of two million people in just five years. In the USSR under Stalin, three million children starved to death. In 1973, the famine that prevailed in Africa and Bangladesh sacrificed several million people. Three decades later, hunger and starvation still persist in many parts of the world, and about thirty to forty people die of hunger or related diseases every minute. Food crises persist in North Korea and other poor countries. Children suffer the most. Every year about fifty million children suffer irreversible and mental damage due to malnutrition, and many lose their lives.

A structural model can explain the relationships among various social and economic factors affecting food demand, supply and shortage. The case of North Korea is particularly striking in this regard. An inefficient food production and supply system, and large food shortfalls, have led to a generation of malnourished children and youth, with long-term consequences for the overall health of the nation’s population. Foreign food aid has masked the problem but in the longterm the nation must change its food supply system and update its production technology.

Structural Model of Food Problems

In order to provide an ultimate resolution to food crisis, the following three factors and their interrelation must be considered: food supply, food demand and need and the impact of food shortages.

Variables that affect food supply include production, imports and aid. The most important factor affecting supply—production—is determined by natural conditions, technology and the production system. In a market system, price mechanisms influence production. In a command economy, centralized resource allocation systems and government directives determine the production system.

Food demand is determined by some form of market, and need is defined as what is required to meet the basic human necessity. Both demand and need are determined by three key variables: population, income and the distribution system. In a market economy, income plays a determining role in demand. In a command economy, the need for food is determined by the population, individuals’ basic needs and the distribution system.

When the two sides—supply and demand/need—match, a society does not have food shortage and it is self-sufficient. There is no need to import food or rely on foreign aid unless food is poorly distributed. When supply exceeds demand/need, there is a surplus of food. However, when supply is less than demand/need, the problem of food shortage arises.

Food shortage causes malnutrition, which makes the population susceptible to death and various diseases. As a result of malnutrition, children suffer from growth retardation, diseases and death. Growth retardation reduces productivity. Reduced productivity leads to decreases in food production, which cuts food supply. Reduced productivity also reduces household income. Populations decrease as a result of increased death. This decreases the demand for food but it also affects production. This implies that it will be very difficult to break the vicious cycle and move toward matching supply and demand/need without major reform and intervention from outside.

Why We Should Be Concerned: Long-term Impacts of Starvation

An old Korean proverb says, “The habits formed at the age of three last until eighty.” The proverb means that what happens in early childhood will have a permanent impact on a person’s physical and mental development. In light of recent findings on human development, however, it is more appropriate to say, “Habits acquired in the mother’s womb are carried into the grave.” New findings on children’s growth and development provide ample recent confirmation of this.

First, an adult’s health status is determined even before she is born. In the past, medical experts claimed that certain diseases like diabetes, obesity, cardiovascular diseases and breast cancer were genetically inherited. However, recent findings through the new science of Fetal Programming suggests that human health is programmed as the fetus begins to grow in the mother’s womb. Peter Nathanielsz, in his book Life in the Womb: The Origin of Health and Diseases, explains how fetal development determines human health.

A dramatic example of this is “the Starving Winter” of the Netherlands. Between September of 1944 and May of 1945, the Germans blocked all food supplies to the western part of the Netherlands. As a result, there was a severe food shortage for about nine months. When the war was over, medical doctors conducted research on the health status of the children born of malnourished mothers during the Starving Winter. They discovered that the children born of malnourished mothers were more likely to suffer from diabetes, obesity and certain psychiatric diseases.

Second, the most critical period of a child’s growth and development is the first three years of life. A major proponent of this argument is Urie Bronfenbrenner of What’s Going On in There? How the Brain and Mind Develop in the First Five Years of Life: Studies using PET (positron emission tomography) have proven that simple activities such as cuddling and rocking have significant impacts on the growth of children. The lack of care and nurturing during early childhood has long-term effects on overall growth and development. These new facts suggest that the continued malnutrition of children and mothers will have enormous long-term consequences.

The North Korean Case

North Korea has long been attempting to increase its economic growth. Central to such attempts was the Third Seven Year Plan initiated from 1987 through 1993. This plan was not successful, however, and the economy continued to deteriorate into the 1990s. Only in 1999 did North Korea’s real GDP record a positive growth of 6.2 percent. Nevertheless, this is still a low growth rate compared to 10.7 percent for South Korea in the same year. Some also suspect the growth was mainly attributable to a large amount of foreign aid. While the population of South Korea is 46 million and North Korea is 22 million, South Korea’s economy is 25.5 times larger than the North Korean economy. Furthermore, per capita income is about twelve times higher in South Korea. Even if the economy in North Korea were to continue to maintain the growth level achieved in 1999, it fails to reach the growth rate of South Korea the gap between the two economies will only increase.

In North Korea, production is inefficient, with obsolete technologies. In recent years, North Korea also suffered from natural disasters such as flood and drought, which were severe in the 1995 to 1997 period. Therefore, most of North Korea’s food supply has come from outside, making it dependent upon foreign aid rather than importing, which would have further dried up its already thin foreign reserves.

In a command economy such as that of North Korea, the demand or need for food is determined not by the food price system and income level but by a central distribution system based on rationing. North Korean farmers obtain food through their state and cooperative farms and the rest of the population receives food from the public distribution system.

It is very difficult to estimate the amount of food shortage in North Korea because the North Korean regime does not release relevant data. The South Korean Ministry of Unification estimated in 1993 there were 200,000 to 300,000 deaths per year.
Solving the Problem

Since 1997 and 1998, North Korea has been experiencing a severe food crisis, which has led to a large number of casualties, particularly children. The main reasons for the food shortage lie on the supply side of a poor production system and obsolete technology. The problem of North Korea's food shortage has been handled by foreign aid, without long-term remedies prepared internally. Inadequate health care has damaged and will continue to damage children's physical, emotional, intellectual and psychological development, and in the future will also reduce labor productivity and cause the economy to unwind. It is very difficult to break the vicious cycle of food shortage, malnutrition, death and reduced productivity without drastic reform initiated inside and intervention from outside.

In order to solve the problem of food shortage in North Korea, the following steps are suggested. The first is that North Korea needs to gradually reform its economic structure to create a version of a mixed economic system and to restructure its production and distribution system. While certain market principles for domestic production and distribution are recommended, a globally oriented market system is not suggested. North Korea may well refer to Cuban and Chinese reform and invent its own model of mixed system. Second, North Korea must actively adopt and develop new food production technology. Third, considering the severity of the problem, the limited resources and the long-term impacts on children, the highest priority should be to provide food and short-term solutions to pregnant mothers. Fourth, in order to effectively provide food aid to North Korea, there needs to be a cooperative aid system consisting of various international and Korean organizations. Fifth, it is suggested that a research network be formed to study and discuss the food shortage and nutrition problem of North Korea. They should work together to obtain more reliable estimates on the food and nutrition situation in North Korea and come to the conclusion that a food shortage and malnutrition problem, and thereby participate in and support efforts to deal with world hunger.

Gill-Chin Lim is endowed professor of Asian Studies in a Global Context and professor of Geography and Urban and Regional Planning at Michigan State University. He works in academia as well as in policy and advocacy and the fields of sustainable development, strategic planning issues, sustainability and governance for human betterment. The substance of this article is based on his recent research and field visit in North Korea and a book (Lim, G. C. and N. S. Chang (Eds.), Nutritional Problems in North Korea: Current Situation and Policy Alternatives, Orum Publishing House for Consortium on Development Studies and Obaba Woman's University Human Ecology Research Institute Yambio Center, 2003).

Global Markets are the Problem Not the Solution: Response to Gill-Chin Lim

Professor Lim may very well be right in identifying problems with North Korea's centrally-planned economy, over-dated technology, and other factors, including natural conditions, that contribute to food shortages there. But the problems of tiny North Korea appear to be unique and perhaps of short duration. It is important that they be placed in proper context lest we feed the Bush administration's propaganda claims that North Korea's leadership is unable to feed its people because it is building weapons of mass destruction, and thereby it should be targeted for violent "regime change."

On a global level food shortages are not simply a problem of supply and demand, but rather a problem of distribution. A 1997 study by the American Association for the Advancement of Science found that 78% of all malnourished children in the developing world live in countries with food surpluses. India is one of the leading agricultural exporters in the world and has at least 200 million hungry people. A decade ago Brazil exported over $13 billion worth of food while 70 million Brazilians didn't have enough to eat. China has one of the highest growth rates in the world as it follows the free market blueprint by privatizing agriculture and industry. Yet hunger and inequalities are growing there, as they did in Russia after it entered the global marketplace.

Certainly the problems of North Korea are real, but they are quite different than the larger set of problems brought on by "free markets." The paradigm is as old as colonialism. Transnational corporations go into poor nations and set up one or two export-processing zones that produce goods that are "marketable" in rich countries but which undermine and destroy local systems of food production. Foreign food aid is usually a band-aid solution and can even make structural problems worse.

Instead we need to address the really hard questions: Who controls markets, who supplies them, and how are they structured? What kind of regulation will there be? Will the free market determine the price of the food sold on the market? Will everyone have equal access to a basic nutritious food basket? In many places, community-based, producer, and consumer groups must play a major role, while government has to play the leading role in planning and regulation. A country that could provide an alternative model for North Korea is Cuba. Cuba faced serious food shortages after the collapse of the Soviet Union. The main problem wasn't slow growth or central planning but the historic dependence on an export-led single-crop (sugar) economy established by European capital in the 19th century and continued under socialism. The end of the socialist camp and decline in demand for cane sugar on the global market is leading Cuba to the creation of a low-energy, self-sufficient agricultural sector that mixes private farmers, coops and restructured state-run enterprises. Cuba today is Latin America's leading country in sustainable agricultural production and no longer faces chronic hunger. Havana has the largest sys-

By Tom Angotti

SEND US YOUR EMAIL ADDRESS!

Beginning in October we started a monthly email newsletter for PN members to keep the networking going. The e-letter will have member updates, jobs, conferences and other announcements. Often PNers in the same city ask us how they can get in touch with other PNers, and the best we can do is send them names and addresses. Email is also the best way to let you know when your membership/subscription has to be renewed. If you don't want to receive the e-letter, we can keep you off that list, but please send us your email address so we can contact you when we need to.

Send to pn@pratt.edu and in the subject line put "subscribe to e-newsletter."
The Community Food Security Coalition: At the Hub of a Growing Movement

By Kai Siedenburg

Picture these scenes in communities across the country:

• School children enthusiastically serving themselves nutritious lunches from fruit and salad bars featuring produce from local farms;
• Neighborhood residents transforming trash-filled vacant lots into verdant community gardens to grow vegetables for themselves and their neighbors;
• Low-income people creating viable, food-related small businesses with the support of community development organizations;
• Cooperatives of family farmers marketing high-quality products directly to low-income communities at a good price; and
• City governments sponsoring food policy councils that convene diverse stakeholders to develop innovative approaches to local issues.

Founded in 1994, the Community Food Security Coalition (CFSC) is an alliance of over 250 organizations at the forefront of a national movement to promote innovative, community-based solutions to the nation's food, farming and nutrition problems. The Coalition views food as a powerful tool for building and revitalizing communities, and for creating a more just and sustainable society. Through this movement, people are coming together to develop community-based solutions to hunger, the family farm crisis, the obesity epidemic and other problems that plague the modern food system.

As groups working on the ground know all too well, these problems are getting worse in many places, driven by powerful political and economic forces that include globalization, consolidation in the food industry, regression of farm policies and racial and class disparities in access to food and health services. Clearly, a great deal of work is needed to reverse these trends and develop a food system that is socially just and sustainable, and that provides nutritious food to all. Indeed, this is one of the most important and difficult challenges facing modern society. Through the community food security movement, hundreds of pioneering organizations are taking on pieces of this momentous challenge. The Community Food Security Coalition is a movement coalition dedicated to supporting their efforts—helping to develop practical models, identify resources and allies and link local efforts with a broader movement to transform the food system.

Community Food Security Defined

The Community Food Security Coalition originated the concept of community food security (CFS) to help convey a positive, community-based approach to addressing food and farm problems. The CFS approach integrates multiple social, economic and environmental goals, with a particular focus on low-income and vulnerable communities. Like the movement that created it, the definition of community food security reflects many different voices and continues to evolve. The following definition captures many elements on which there is broad agreement:

Community food security is a condition in which all community residents obtain a safe, culturally acceptable, nutritionally adequate diet through a sustainable food system that maximizes community self-reliance and social justice.

Mike Hamm and Anne Bellows

The Coalition helps to link projects such as those described above with planners and others seeking complimentary goals—such as livable cities, neighborhood revitalization and community empowerment—and to weave diverse efforts into a broader movement for change. Urban and rural planners have played a key role in the Coalition since the beginning, helping shape its emphasis on supporting action at the community and regional levels, as well as its focus on planning as a key approach to addressing food system issues. The Coalition has helped develop and promote comprehensive, community-centered approaches to meeting community and food system goals, such as food policy councils and community food assessments. Both these approaches involve convening diverse stakeholders to assess what is happening in the food system, and to make recommendations and take action to improve it. Planners are involved in the Coalition as board members, lead staff and members; many also are involved in food security-related work in their own communities.

Goals, History and Accomplishments

The Coalition's work is organized around four key focal areas: access to healthy foods; local and regional food systems; culture, food, health; and movement building and support. Its overarching goals are:

• To improve access to healthy foods for all, especially people who are low-income or food insecure;
• To promote development of local and regional food systems that link consumers with sustainable family farmers;
• To encourage broad-based education and action based on the belief that the purpose of the food system is to promote and sustain health; and
• To foster a strong and diverse community food security movement, and to build the CFS as an organization that expresses this strength and diversity.

The Community Food Security Coalition was founded at a meeting of thirty leading activists from a broad spectrum of organizations. These leaders sought to forge stronger links between advocates working in food policy and agricultural issues and who shared many common goals but lacked a forum for unifying their efforts. They committed to work together to build the Coalition as this forum, and to develop a national policy platform.

Remarkably, the new Coalition was successful in getting a major component of this policy platform passed through the 1996 Farm Bill creation of a Community Food Projects (CFP) Competitive Grants Program to fund projects that aim to build community self-reliance and improve food access for low-income people. The Coalition wrote the legislation and led the grassroots campaign to gain its passage. This was the organization's first major victory, and particularly impressive given that it had very limited resources and only one paid staffperson.

Today the Coalition is recognized nationally for its leadership in creating the community food security approach and effectively promoting it at multiple levels, from the grassroots to national policy arenas. The Coalition has continued to win major national policy successes, including: the new Senior Farmers' Market Nutrition Program; the doubling of Community Food Projects funding in 2002 to $42 million; the development of a high profile, inter-agency Community Food Security Initiative under the Clinton Administration; and changes in school food procurement guidelines to encourage purchase from local farmers. The Coalition has organized hundreds of workshops; produced ten practical, how-to guidebooks; provided individualized assistance to thirty food organizations; and organized seven successful national conferences. Through these education and advocacy activities, the Coalition also has built a thriving and diverse national movement around the issue of community food security, one that links community-based efforts with a broader movement for systemic change.

Current Programs and Activities

The Coalition employs a three-pronged approach in its work: building the capacity of CFS practitioners through technical assistance programs; investing in networking and coalition-building to weave people together and strengthen diverse efforts; and engaging in policy advocacy to build a national commitment to ensuring access to nutritious food for all.

Consider food and agriculture uses such as food stores, markets and community gardens as part of general plans.

In transportation planning, consider food access when planning transit routes, especially for low-income and transit-dependent people.

Consider opportunities to advance economic development and urban renewal through food retail establishments.

Communicate with officials in other agencies to encourage coordinated initiatives related to food security and agricultural issues.

Partner with community groups in assessing and planning for food access.

Map food outlets to help others understand food access in the community.

Research and write about community food security issues, and raise these issues at professional societies and conferences.

Support or get involved with local organizations working on community food security issues (the Coalition can help identify these).

Support or get involved with the Community Food Security Coalition.
Bringing Urban Planners and Urban Agriculture Together

By Martin Baikey

Like many other planners, I once considered urban agriculture—the intentional cultivation of food, typically on undersized city land in close proximity to food-insecure populations—more a novelty than a legitimate use and social activity. It was only after I was aware of community gardening, the most common form of city farming, through the community gardens one sees in most US cities. But their decidedly low-tech character, and their historic tie to wartime victory gardens, seemed to me regressive rather than progressive, and thus conceptually opposite the logic of modern, urban school yards. Then, in 1998, I found myself conducting funded research exploring the feasibility of urban agriculture as a community development vehicle. I soon became a staunch advocate for urban agriculture and the food security movement, a largely grassroots effort—one that has gained momentum since the 1980s—that works to ensure all Americans access to nutritious and affordable food. My newfound advocacy was not so much a result of the logic of the movement, but rather the logic of public sector planning and the dichotomous role of planners as facilitators of, and intermediaries in, market-driven development. As both a planner and an advocate for increased food production in cities, my present view of urban agriculture is based on identifying what it connects to—inheriting its inherent benefits to nutrition and public health—while also pondering its proper place within the range of urban systems with which planners typically concern themselves.

This view is not original to me, but has been articulated by others, most notably Kami Pothukuchi and Jerry Kaufman, in a pair of journal articles: one written in 1999 for Agriculture and Human Values, and the other in the spring 2000 issue of the Journal of the American Planning Association. In both, Pothukuchi and Kaufman note the absence of food among the typical concerns of public planners and pose the simple question of why food, an essential human need, is not addressed professionally in the same way as housing, transportation, or economic development.

I write this in Boston, at the close of the seventh annual conference of the Community Food Security Coalition (CFSC). CFSC is an important advocate for food-centered public policy, and the coordinator of a wide range of local and national initiatives. I was surprised at how often during the conference the words “planning” and “planners” were mentioned by a sizable proportion of the attendees. Indeed, as a planning consultant working with community developers, I often found myself working with planners in a service capacity of the same type. In some projects, for example, planners were working with local agriculture, academic, or student planners. Indeed, these were dedicated people thinking like planners: they were identifying problems, noting available resources, articulating desired future visions (in this case, visions that collectively ensure everyone access to nutritious and affordable food, regardless of social (status) and proposing sustainable alternatives based on present realities and future speculations. It was natural, then, for the CFSC participants to consider the planning community as absent, but not far from mind. This was manifest in the reactions of those with whom I spoke once they learned that I was myself a planner.

The Boston gathering reinforced my belief that a greater role for planners in food systems, and urban agriculture in particular, would be possible from within mainstream planning practice. Rather it is more likely to come from outside planning, specifically through the efforts of food security advocates with the savvy to hook planners to their cause by linking food-related issues to certain planning orthodoxies. With regard to urban agriculture, the most relevant of these orthodoxies is land use. For city farms to become more common, planners must develop a more sophisticated view of urban open space by exploring how city communities in detail, then applying these categories in their work with those same communities. The rich variety of open space that now exists in US cities is only vaguely understood by planners, and specified in plan documents far less precisely than residential, commercial or industrial land uses. (It should also be noted that city planners are under pressure from homeowners and residents and also hold vague conceptions of urban open space as little more than “park.”) Both land use planners and their clients, therefore, must recognize a broader typology of neighborhood open spaces. Such a typology would include not only conventional public parks and playgrounds, but also community-initiated spaces such as community gardens and memorials to the victims of urban violence.
Food Security and an Official Plan

By Gerda Wekerle

In the summer of 2002, the City of Toronto was putting final touches on its new Official Plan. The Toronto Food Policy Council (TFPC), an agency of public health, had prepared two discussion papers outlining how food security issues were relevant to planning processes. These proposals, however, had not been considered in the draft plan. As a last ditch effort, the Regional Agriculture Sub-committee of the TFPC organized a workshop for which I prepared a paper on Toronto’s Official Plan with respect to community gardening and urban agriculture.

I identified specific clauses in the draft plan that might provide for garden spaces in infill developments or brownfield strategies, and noted ways in which the plan might inadvertently eliminate existing or potential garden spaces. I argued that garden spaces could be included as part of greening strategies, including green infrastructure and sustainable land uses. Fortuitously, the paper was published in the next (July 2002, vol.4, A) issue of the Ontario Farmer, which is mailed to every registered planner in the province. The next week, the TFPC was invited by the planning team responsible for the Official Plan process to talk about possible amendments to the plan. As a result, specific and detailed changes were made to the plan to address food security issues.

See also www.cityfarmer.org/torontoplan.html.

Gerda Wekerle is professor in the Faculty of Environmental Studies at York University in Canada.

Linking the Land and the Lunchroom:
Insights for Planners from the Wisconsin Homegrown Lunch Farm-to-School Project

By Heather Stoudter

A key (and often contradictory) challenge for local food system initiatives is to take a systematic approach to move beyond providing local flavor to a privileged few, while ensuring that farmers and food producers receive a fair price for their products. Through addressing only a small piece of this puzzle, the Wisconsin Homegrown Lunch Farm-to-School project has embraced this challenge by exploring the possibilities of incorporating locally-grown food products within the Madison Metropolitan School District Foodservice. Despite the "wins" nature of these projects, many barriers exist between farms and schools, including low budgets and inadequate time and labor for food preparation. These are important for planners and others to consider at the outset of any farm-to-school initiative.

On the surface, the Madison area food system appears to be quite strong. Featuring the largest farmers market in the state, several community supported agriculture (CSA) farms serving the metropolitan area, twenty-three community gardens and three retail natural food cooperatives, the Madison area hardly seems to be in need of public policy and planning to strengthen the local food system. Local food and agriculture are quite visible in and around this city of approximately 200,000 people.

While a diversity of food products from small-scale niche and organic farmers may be enjoyed by those who can afford to purchase them, however, opportunities to eat and identify with such products are not widely available to many others: those who shop at large grocery stores were likely to find annual matrices which are sold at convenience stores; those who rely on the emergency food system; children who rely on school meals as a major part of the diet. It is this population that the farm-to-school project attempts to reach, and its difficulties illustrate the many difficulties similar projects are likely to face as they reach beyond niche markets.

"Farm-to-School" within the Progressive Planning Agenda

While the corporate global food system operates quite efficiently, the significant market failures within it—hunger, environmental degradation and social inequities—deserve significant attention from planners and policymakers. Independent family farmers and low-income citizens are two groups that face significant burdens within this system. Across the US, efforts to address these inequalities have frequently involved overlap and coalition-building among several arenas including public health, food security, urban and rural environmental quality, land use/growth management and community-based economic development.
into cafeterias originate on real land in real communities, and are grown and processed by real people who often earn exploitative wages. By creating policies and incentives for public institutions to purchase local and sustainably produced foods directly from farmers and farmer cooperatives, the ‘metabolism’ of cities may ultimately become more sustainable and just.

Though perhaps the most challenging among potential institutional customers, public schools—because they are sites where youth of all income levels both learn and eat—should please the interest of planners who focus on equity and long-term sustainability. Across the US today, farm-to-school initiatives are proliferating as attempts to simultaneously create consistent, viable markets for growers and increase access to fresh, locally produced foods for children. Farm-to-school initiatives take many forms, and often involve collaborative arrangements between two or more of the following: farmers, non-profit organizations, universities, school district staff and interested citizens. Though I know of none that have originated in a municipal planning department, progressive planners could play an important role in such initiatives.

The Wisconsin Homegrown Lunch Project

Funded by the USDA Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education (SARE) grants since October 2002, Wisconsin Homegrown Lunch (WHIL) is a joint effort of the University of Wisconsin Center for Integrated Agricultural Systems and the non-profit REAP (Research, Education, Action and Policy) Food Group. With one full-time project coordinator, a graduate research assistant and the oversight of a professor heavily involved in the project, WHL relies on partnerships with school foodservice staff, principals and teachers in three pilot elementary schools, volunteers, and a representative of local organic farmers to explore possibilities for reaching the following general goals:

- Increase access to fresh, local produce for Madison school children, beginning in public elementary schools;
- Build stable markets for area farms and food producers who prioritize ecologically sound growing methods; and
- Create meaningful educational links between classrooms, lunchrooms and local food and agriculture.

I have been involved as a facilitator with the WHL for a year. Reflecting on the year’s work there have been five main accomplishments of the project to note. First, the group established positive collaborative relationships with food service and school district staff. By coordinating to support and cooperation, setting up meetings and exploring possibilites within existing frameworks, the project gained legitimacy and took shape. Support from one of the school district’s elementary curriculum coordinators allowed us to send a flier offering district-wide classroom presentations through official district mail. Enthusiasm from parents and teachers in the three WHL pilot schools has been integral to nearly every event. Without a commitment to cooperation, WHL would simply not exist.

Second, an effective committee of citizen volunteers and an advisory committee comprised of experts from a cross-section of related fields were formed. Third, educational experiences for elementary students in classrooms and on farms were offered with the support of principals, teachers, area vegetable farmers dedicated to education and volunteers. These experiences increase students’ understanding of local agriculture, prepare them for special meals and enhance the existing school curriculum.

The fourth accomplishment of the project was an initial flow of fresh, local and organic products to over 1,300 elementary students in three pilot schools at school picnics in Spring 2003 and at Harvest Festival lunches in Fall 2003. Local farmers, parents, volunteers and the local media attended these special events, which featured homegrown salads, rhubarb muffins, fresh tortilla wraps and veggie chili. Principals and teachers agreed to extend school picnics and seasonal events and encouraged many creative ways to change the lunchroom ambiance with decorations related to local farms and nutritious food. Finally, we have worked to identify assess, and disseminate information about a range of constraints and opportunities facing this project, which we hope will assist others interested in farm-to-school possibilities.

Challenges: Research and action within the first year of the project has illuminated several significant and interrelated barriers to the purchasing of local foods, in the long-term. Public school foodservices are unique and challenging customers for small- and mid-scale growers, in that they operate under more rigid financial and regulatory constraints than most hospitals, universities and other institutions. If connections between farms and schools can occur, they can almost certainly work within other institutions as well.

Centralized kitchen: Like many medium-sized and large school districts, the MMSD foodservice uses a centralized production and distribution facility, producing approximately 18,000 meals a day in assembly-line fashion, and distributing by truck meals pre-packed in plastic to the forty-five schools in the district.

Low budget: Costs for both food and labor have been minimized within the facility, which certainly diminishes market opportunities for local growers. Food costs incurred to the foodservice average only 40% of pre-tax school meal, which is partially made possible because school districts have access to the large, anonymous pool of USDA Commodity Foods, including surplus meats, dairy products, grains and processed foods. The availability of these commodity products presents a substantial barrier to many local producers, and has caused us to focus almost exclusively on the potential for incorporating fresh vegetables into meals.

Minimal food preparation: Pressures to decrease labor costs have resulted in very little cooking and food preparation actually taking place within the facility. Staff are accustomed to receiving even fresh vegetables in foodservice ready forms—washed, peeled, chopped, diced and bagged.

Fast is familiar: Similar to nationwide trends in school foodservice, standardized lunches look similar to, and sometimes are, items from fast food restaurants, including tacos, French toast sticks, chicken nuggets, hamburgers and pizza, though they certainly meet federal nutrition requirements for school meals on a weekly basis.

After employing several strategies, the project team is focusing on developing new menu items that could incorporate local produce and fit within the existing foodservice system. At the same time, we are working with vegetable farmers and a local food cooperative to explore possibilities for fresh cut processing, including washing, peeling and dicing. Despite the dismal outlook for immediate consistent flow of local foods to Madison’s school cafeterias, educational experiences focused on local food and agriculture continue, and are being enjoyed by students in classrooms and on nearby farms. When given the opportunity, most children jump at the chance to taste a wide variety of fresh, locally-grown produce and love to talk about it!

Farm-to-School Strategies for Planners

While recognizing that most planners cannot do have time to add duties such as extensive classroom education and menu planning to their job descriptions, planners can take on important roles of facilitation and support for farm-to-school and similar projects. At any level from neighborhood to region, if one has an interest in the farm-to-school idea and flexibility within his/her professional role as a planner, the following general strategies may be helpful:

Facilitate a discussion. First and perhaps most importantly, planners can initiate roundtable discussions with a broad array of people to gauge possibilities and level of interest in linking farms and schools. Initial contacts might include farmers market coordinators, area school foodservice directors, school board members, university extension staff, teachers, students, community groups, public health advocates and staff from a state department of agriculture focused on direct marketing. Farm-to-school connections are and will likely continue to be framed and shaped differently in each region.
Planning's Role in Assisting Local Agricultural Initiatives: An Example from Dane County, Wisconsin

By Laura Stauffer

According to the Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection, "For more than 150 years, agriculture has driven the state's economy. It remains the number one industry in Wisconsin, employing one of every five people. Despite this, family farms in Wisconsin are disappearing at a rate of about 2 percent per year. One way to increase the profitability of the remaining small farms and help them stay in business is to increase their access to new markets. In order to keep food dollars circulating within the state, there must be support and encouragement of small and medium-sized processing facilities to work with similarly-scaled producers in a region, as well as distribution systems that cater to local and regional clientele.

In Dane County, Wisconsin, a group of local farmers who specialize in sustainably produced vegetables are developing plans for a Central Agriculture and Food Facility (CAFF). The four proposed components of the CAFF include an indoor farmers' market, a food processing kitchen, a distribution center (cooler/dryer and space and a loading dock), and office space. The indoor farmers market component of the CAFF will provide farmers with another venue for direct marketing, and the processing and distribution components will increase the potential of vegetable growers to establish new wholesale markets. Planners can lend important resources and expertise to initiatives like CAFF which strengthen local farmland and food economies.

CAFF organizers—farmers, local agro-food organizations, community development organizations and local food retailers—are looking to local planners for assistance in making this project a reality.

Dane County's Need for a Central Agriculture and Food Facility

Consumer awareness of the benefits of local foods has increased across the United States, as demonstrated by the growing popularity and recent proliferation of farmers markets. While many consumers believe in supporting farmers in their region, they are unable to consume consistently and find local foods in their grocery stores, shop systems or local restaurants. The major barrier between local farmers and local institutions (such as schools and hospitals) is the lack of processing facilities to minimally process fruits and vegetables. Madison's Home Grown Lunch Farm-to-school project has found, for example, that the biggest obstacle in bringing local food into the city's public schools is the lack of a processing facility and labor force to wash, peel and slice vegetables and supply these to the central composting kitchen at central processing facilities. The primary obstacle preventing local farmers from serving a large number of local restaurants and grocery stores efficiently and affordably is the lack of a local distribution and storage site.

Why a Planning Department Should Be Interested in a CAFF

Food system globalization and consolidation trends have shifted power away from local economies to the global corporate economy. Initiatives like CAFF, however, can begin to reverse these trends. Local processing, distribution and retailing of agricultural products can help counter manufacturing job loss from US cities to overseas economies. Healthy cities maintain diverse economies that offer jobs for residents at all skill levels. Many cities, however, currently have a shortage of low-skill jobs leading to increased unemployment rates. Food processing and distribution is a sector many cities could grow. Many cities already have the needed infrastructure, and large, older cities also have the zoning to allow modern processing and distribution facilities in neighborhoods that historically served as market districts. The building stock of many cities is also appropriate; older industrial and commercial buildings are often equipped with loading docks and physical infrastructure ideal for processing and distribution functions.

The American Farmland Trust argues that the most successful way to keep farmers on the land is to keep farming profitable. Agricultural land in a region can provide many environmental benefits to its urban areas, while at the same time contributing to the region’s rural economy. Cities must look at ways to increase the profitability of local farms by facilitating their access to the local, urban market. Selling products to the global market drives down the prices that small-scale and local farmers receive. Small-scale producers benefit from increased foot traffic, and consequently increased sales. For more [Cont. on page 50]
Community Gardening in York Region, Ontario:
Vision for Regional & Municipal Support in Suburban Areas

By Nancy Reid

Community gardening is an important suburban land use activity. In the suburban York Region of Ontario, Canada, the York Region Food Network (YRFN), a registered charitable organization run by volunteers, is raising awareness of food security issues and promoting action for change through community gardening programs. I have been undertaking research for the YRFN, examining options for institutionalizing this important activity.

Currently community gardens in York Region are supported by an informal and provisional network. In order to move community gardening to a more permanent and legitimate suburban activity, York Region Food Network envisions a community gardening program that is supported by its regional and municipal governments, and in particular, local planning departments.

What is a Community Garden?

Community gardens are communal areas in urban and suburban neighborhoods where local residents grow fruits, vegetables, herbs and flowers. Typically, gardens are located on various types of private and public land—e.g., churches, parks, schools—and are subdivided into plots for personal use. Membership is usually dependent on seasonal registration, availability and residency; requires volunteer garden work hours; and may or may not charge a minimal fee, anywhere from $5-30 a year.

Community gardening is not a recent phenomenon and has a history in European cities dating back to the start of the twentieth century, when “allotment gardens” provided food for families during industrialization and war. Due to a variety of problems associated with urban and suburban settlement in Canada, including poverty, and interest in sustainability, hunger and community health, this movement has once again had significant impact on communities across the country.

Every party involved with a community garden benefits in some way. Municipalities, neighborhood groups, families or community groups may enjoy increased access to fresh foods (food security), healthy communities, recreation, cultural expression, environmental protection and restoration and community development.

York Region and Its Local Municipalities

York Region is located north of the City of Toronto in Ontario. Up until about thirty years ago, the landscape of the region was dominated largely by rural and agricultural uses and forest. It contained a large portion of the Oak Ridges Moraine, a significant ecological, geological and hydrological feature of the Province of Ontario. Today, the York Region is characterized by rapid, post-industrial suburban growth, sprawling from its neighboring cities.

Due to market and development trends and consumer demand, growth of the physical fabric of York Region is almost entirely low-density, mixed-use and automobile-oriented. The majority of community and residential development, therefore, is suburban in all regards.

Community Gardens in York Region Today

Since 1993, the York Region Food Network has worked hard to help families and citizens gain access to affordable, healthy, fresh foods by establishing community gardens in York Region. Today three active community gardens that were started by the YRFN exist in suburban communities in York, in addition to an independently working garden in Richmond Hill. Each of the gardens has anywhere from thirty-five to eighty-five plots located on private land (only in one case is it public), and are enjoyed by approximately fifty families each. Membership fees are not required.

At present, literature on community gardening in North America focuses on an urban rather than a suburban context. Urban areas commonly have limited green space for gardening and suffer from problems associated with food insecurity. There is a growing need, however, for community gardens in suburban areas, like York Region. Local municipal governments can play a role in encouraging community gardening in suburban regions.

Local Support for Community Gardens

Many individuals and community organizations support York Region’s community gardens:

- Land, donated by a local family, a private company or the Regional Municipality of York, is available free of charge. There are no formalarrangements that secure land use.
- Garden sites are prepared by municipal Parks and Recreation Departments. Municipal governments provide additional support, including snow-fencing, compost, water, etc. No formal agreement ensures this support.
- Local school high school students measure and stake garden plots and donate time towards their community service hours credits.
- Seeds, compost, some garden tools and garden sheds are often donated or provided at cost by local businesses or service groups.
- Fundraising projects to support operating expenses are coordinated by YRFN.
- Limited funding prevents YRFN from not only employing a full-time coordinator but also in compensating the current part-time coordinator for transportation costs and additional expenses.

YRFN’s community garden program is based on an informal partnership with its local and regional governments. While these arrangements aid YRFN greatly, they offer no promise for the future and they cannot be terminated at any time, even for economic reasons. Furthermore, because the gardens are not formally recognized as a land use, the legitimacy and need for community gardening in suburban areas fails to be seen by planners and municipalities.

In the past, community gardening programs in North American urban areas have operated under these conditions. Since 1993, however, and perhaps earlier, the urban community gardening movement has seen increased support. It has yet to be realized, however, that there is a need for community gardens and garden support in the suburban context.

YRFN is seeking to create an agreement between regional and municipal governments that will facilitate existing partnerships and secure the future of community gardening in the region. The following section of this article both assesses and justifies the need for additional and formal partnerships between local municipalities, gardeners, coordinators and public and private landowners.

Assessing the Need for Support in York Region

Rapid Growth: Rapid growth presents a number of immediate challenges for healthy communities and sustainability. With population growth rates of 40,000 annually across York Region, residential development is occurring at a significant rate and municipalities should consider whether or not residents have adequate space for growing their own food, either for cultural expression, recreation, food security and health or community spirit.

In order to ensure healthy communities, local governments must address this issue as discussed. There are vast numbers of residents, family and community benefits felt by those involved in community gardening. Community gardening is an excellent way in which to ensure that rapidly developing areas remain healthy and sustainable.

Hunger in York Region: In the past, urban issues were often observed and understood to be distinct across suburban issues. For example, Canadian urban centers traditionally had a higher rate of poverty and homelessness than did suburban areas. In more recent years, evidence tends to suggest that this discrepancy is changing; typically ‘urban’ problems are now evident in suburbs. In many municipalities of York Region, hunger is an increasingly significant problem. Food bank user surveys done by YRFN revealed that there were over 15,500 visits to food banks in the year 2002, up 11 percent from 2001. In a typical month last year, food banks provided assistance to 3,151 York Region residents.

Community gardening can play a significant role in relieving hunger among those who live in York Region. The few who grow their own food and those who suffer from hunger by providing low-income families and citizens with a means by which to grow their own fresh fruits and vegetables at a low cost. Additionally, community garden membership in York Region requires that all gardeners donate a portion of the fruits and vegetables to local food banks.

Recommendations for Community Gardening in Suburbs

The following recommendations have been developed to increase support for community gardening in suburban environments. They were developed based on two sources. First, I reviewed both academic and policy documents related to community gardening in Canadian urban areas, including the City of Toronto, the City of Montreal, the Regional Government of Vancouver, Calgary, and Saskatchewan. Second, I volunteered with the YRFN in order to understand local food bank use.

[Cont. on page 40]
WALLS OR BRIDGES?
Strategies for Rebuilding Communities

PLANNERS NETWORK CONFERENCE
JUNE 25-27, 2004
NEW YORK CITY

The quality of urban life is undermined by inequality, poverty, violence and war. Cities are divided into enclaves by walls that segregate by privilege, race and ethnicity. These divisions are increasingly evident in the Middle East and South Asia, but are growing throughout the world and in North America as well. At the same time communities are struggling to rebuild bridges and networks that unite people.

In New York City, the 9/11 disaster prompted many proposals for rebuilding Ground Zero and lower Manhattan, but the rebuilding process has been dominated by powerful interests that have turned their backs on the communities that were most seriously affected. Civil rights and access to public spaces are being curtailed. The "war on terrorism" throughout the world is destroying many bridges and erecting new walls. Globalization is increasing economic inequalities, racism, and political repression.

Community-based planning offers inclusive, democratic models for urban planning based on social, economic and environmental justice. The Planners Network conference seeks to engage discussions about these experiences and help develop progressive planning strategies for the future. How can planning help build secure and sustainable cities? How can planners oppose the destruction of war and natural disasters and strengthen networks leading to peace?

CALL FOR WORKSHOP PROPOSALS

The conference organizing committee invites proposals for speakers, participatory workshops, and panels on topics related to the conference themes, including workshops hosted by community-based organizations in the city's five boroughs. The committee will give preference to open, participatory workshops led by community organizers. To submit a proposal, contact Tom Angotti and Ayse Yonder at pn@pnnet.edu or Planners Network, 379 DeKalb Ave., Brooklyn, NY 11205.

REGISTRATION

Register now and save. Register on-line at www.plannersnetwork.org or mail in the tear-off below. Late registration after May 1, Dormitory housing available at $35/night. Details will be sent to registrants.

Three-day registration fee includes five meals, neighborhood visits, and one year subscription to Progressive Planning.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Regular</th>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Low-income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>$175</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>$125</td>
<td>$60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student one-day</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-income one-day</td>
<td>$30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Name            Phone
Address          Fax
City/State/Zip   Email
Amount: Regular  Low-income
Credit Card      Expiration
Check enclosed

Send to: Planners Network, 379 DeKalb Ave., Brooklyn, NY 11205

Organic Farming in Southwestern Indian Communities

By Katherine Crewe

Native American communities in the Southwest have a special relationship to food. Traditional crops such as native corn, chili, melons and squash are increasingly seen to symbolize an earlier lifestyle independent of white settlement. They offer ways for today's tribes to connect with historical crop cultivation and hybridization dating back to the Mayans, and also to revive festive traditions that made cooking a communal event. At the same time, westernized diets have caused many health problems among American Indians, primarily diabetes, while contaminated water and pesticides have brought on other serious ailments, as tribes have lost their land for large-scale farming and commercial operations. For these many reasons, cooperative farming is a potential path towards the cultural regeneration and improved health of Indian communities.

Organic farming is well-suited to Native American communities. Farmers can offer a year-round supply of fresh vegetables, and operations are pollution-free and accord with traditional Indian farming. Organic farming, with improved production techniques, is highly profitable and is in fact the fastest-growing sector of agriculture in the US today. Moreover, the organic food market, which is upscale and affluent, coincides with Native American marketing trends encouraged by federal and tribal programs. For instance, the federally-sponsored Intertribal Agriculture Council or IAC, a network of eighty-seven Native American tribes promoting Indian agriculture, has targeted high-end and overseas markets. A newsletter report states: "We have found export markets infinitely more accessible than the US domestic market. Foreign buyers are interested not only because products are naturally grown, but because they are produced by Native Americans!"

An increasing number of organic farming cooperatives and farm programs have sprung up on tribal reservations in the Southwest since the mid-1990s, posing some challenges and opportunities for planners on tribal lands. Projects range from small heritage-based school farms, to farmers' cooperatives of up to ten acres of land serving a single community, to larger-scale commercialized cooperatives, typically marketing value-added products throughout the US and abroad. All these initiatives involve non-tribal entrepreneurs to a greater or lesser extent, all draw on state and federal funding and all have a strong cultural component that includes tribal heritage and community building. This piece is informed by my own experience running a USDA-sponsored project, through Arizona State University, to create an organic farm within the Salt River Pima Maricopa Indian Community, east of Scottsdale, Arizona. Over the last two years I have conducted research about organic farming in tribal communities throughout Arizona, particularly the Gila River Indian Community south of Phoenix, and have studied farming initiatives in New Mexico, the hub of traditional tribal farming and crop hybridization.

Since casino money began flowing into tribal reservations in the early 1990s, tribal planners and leaders have given much thought to economic development. While increased revenue has bought the undeniable benefits of improved infrastructure, new business enterprises and a renewed sense of sovereignty, it has also brought unwanted intrusions. A recurring question is: What makes business succeed in Indian country? What lies between the devastation of strip mining and other extractive industries, and poverty and unemployment?

Planners within tribal lands might consider the opportunities and limitations of different farming cooperatives. There are many advantages to urban and rural, low-tech farmers' cooperatives growing organic food cheaply for tribal use. Start-up expenses are low since these enterprises involve basic tools, use available land and include pooling interest and intergenerational activities. Specific goals vary. There is the Seba Delkai Cooperative in Northern Arizona, which operates small heritage-based farms as part of an educational program, the Gila River Farms south of Phoenix, where a garden serves a local store; and the Salt River Pima Maricopa Indian Community Farm Coop, which runs an organic farm serving tribal members and tribal institutions, including local health services and senior centers. In all cases coops serve pressing needs, from providing food and employment, to keeping money on the reservation. The latter is vital; most reservation lands lack basic services like gas stations, hardware stores, Laundromats and grocery stores, causing an ongoing drain of dollars to neighboring cities and a dependence on convenience stores. Small-scale tribal cooperatives typically receive federal funding for loans, as from the Farm Credit Service, or for techno...
Building an Alternative to the Global Food System in Jalisco, Mexico

By Danielle Schami

The majority of small-scale producers in Mexico contend with multiple pressures that impair their efforts to grow food. In addition to the everyday struggles of growing crops, these producers face a host of challenges resulting from agrarian reform, climate change, structural adjustment, biotechnology and international trade agreements. El Círculo de Producción y Consumo Responsable (Círculo), an organization in Guadalajara, Mexico, is attempting to create an alternative model that directly links rural producers and urban consumers.

Trade agreements, NAFTA in particular, have considerably undermined the viability of small-scale producers in Mexico. Corn, a dietary and cultural staple, lost all state protection under the NAFTA agreement. As a result, a flood of cheap US corn hit the market and drove down prices. Consequently, people were forced to leave rural areas in search of economic opportunities in the cities, still facing the likely risk of further poverty and malnutrition.

In addition, the food security of the impoverished sectors of the population has declined as a result of a drastic shift to crop production. Across Latin America, crops intended for export are now grown on land that once produced beans. Whereas beans contribute approximately thirty percent of the protein consumed by the continent’s 200 million low-income families, most bean farmers are now trying to grow vegetables for export and devoting less of their land to beans for home or national consumption.

Given this context, small-scale food producers in Mexico find themselves in a quandary. They are losing access to the local market, as it has become increasingly difficult for them to compete with foreign products grown cheaply in other countries and dumped on the Mexican market. The Mexican state relinquished protectionist policies in order to enter into the NAFTA agreement, forcing its agricultural sector to compete with protected subsidized producers of Canada and the US. At the same time, this situation leaves Mexican producers at a disadvantage when trying to compete with subsidized farmers on the global market.

The Circle of Responsible Production and Consumption

In response to such problems, a small group of people in Guadalajara, Mexico has joined forces with rural producers to build a local alternative to the global food system. El Círculo de Producción y Consumo Responsable (Círculo) is based on three fundamental concepts: ecological production, responsible consumption and fair trade. The Círculo offers greater food security to producers and consumers alike and helps to improve the economic conditions of rural families. The Círculo also provides an interface between the countryside and the city by promoting rural-urban folklores and alternatives to conventional forms of food production, consumption and commercialization.

Community-Supported Agriculture (CSA)

CSA is a partnership of mutual commitment between a farm and a community of supporters that provides a direct link between food production and consumption. Supporters cover a farm’s yearly operating budget by purchasing a share of the season’s harvest. CSA members make a commitment to help support the farm while receiving fresh, seasonal produce throughout the year.
El tianguis — Where Producers and Consumers Meet Face to Face

The central activity of the Circulo is a bi-weekly marketplace called the ‘tianguis’, a word and concept borrowed from the Mayan people. A tianguis is an open-air marketplace where producers and consumers meet face-to-face. At the Circulo’s weekly tianguis in Guadalajara, producers sell their products directly to consumers. This weekly ritual has become an important part of some 100 people’s lives, a place where they come together to share their vision of a more just food system.

The consumers receive fresh produce from a trust-worthy source and the producers gain an improved standard of living as a result of the consumers’ commitment to buy their products on a regular basis. The social ties and understanding between consumer and producer are strengthened each week as consumers hear first-hand about the realities producers face in growing food and bringing it to the city. This exchange provides consumers with a clearer picture of their local food system and ultimately is reflected in their continuing support of the producers.

Land Stewardship

The producers involved in this project are proud land stewards. They recognize their responsibility to care for the soil and the water they use to grow food. They face tremendous pressures, however, in trying to maintain their commitment to healthy food production under a global food system dictated by international economic trends. The limitations of the agricultural industry and economic policies squeeze them into corners that drive them deeper into poverty.

The key principle of organic agriculture is to mimic as much as possible naturally-occurring ecological cycles. Practices like crop rotation and the application of organic fertilizer, such as manure or compost, reflect this principle instead of building soil fertility. Likewise, growing local varieties and native species reclaims and encourages biodiversity in the agriculture landscape. Reclaiming indigenous and campestro (rural) knowledge and developing local growing methods suited to the ecological region allow producers to provide their own inputs and help to reduce their dependence on credit agencies.

Environmental Education in the City and the Countryside

These producers were among the first in the region to turn their back on conventional agriculture and its dependence on synthetic fertilizers and pesticides. As explained by Maite Cortes, an environmental educator with Circulo, it was easy for the first prodcuers who became involved in the project to understand the importance of reduced pesticide use. ‘Many of them had already been poisoned many times—some of their children had even died from pesticide exposure. So it wasn’t a matter of understanding that pesticides were poisoning their lives, but rather it was a question of whether they were going to be able to sell the produce that they were growing. There was also the attraction of reduced risk or any form of governmental support.’ With the support of people like Maite, who were able to provide them with the scientific knowledge about pesticides and existing alternatives, producers such as Ezequil Macias now serve as regional examples of the possibilities of organic agriculture production.

Maite is among several environmental educators who promote the Circulo. Members of the Jalisco Ecological Collective (JEC), an environmental NGO dedicated to raising awareness of responsible social and environmental practices, organize workshops both in the city and the countryside about toxins in the environment and how to select healthier alternatives for the farm and for home. This handful of people provides the backbone of the Circulo; their educational and organizational skills help to keep the project flourishing. Some of the producers have since become educators themselves, explaining organic agriculture to their neighbors and other growers and inviting city folk to their farms to learn firsthand about food production.

Consumer Awareness

One of the goals of the workshops is to increase awareness of the impact of pesticides. City dwellers who have attended workshops organized by JEC or listened to one of JEC’s programs broadcast on a local radio station are likely to better understand the impacts of pesticides on their health, the environment and the well-being of the people growing their food. Increasingly these consumers are committed to supporting organic farmers by purchasing directly from them. They also understand that in doing so, the producers get a better price for their products by avoiding the cut that would otherwise go to a broker or merchant. Through a better understanding of their independent relationship with producers and their role within the food system, the consumers involved in Circulo adjust their shopping patterns in order to purchase their food at the bi-weekly market rather than at the local grocery chain. Their efforts have led to so is well worth the value of providing healthier food to their families and lending direct support to the producers from whom they buy at the tianguis.

Fair Trade

In Canada and the US, fair trade is most commonly associated with products such as coffee, cocoa and bananas. In the case of the Circulo, fair trade means supporting local producers by paying fair prices for all the produce they grow. According to the CSA model, members of the Circulo engage in fair trade by sharing in the risks involved in agriculture and with which producers must contend. Production losses typically result from drought or heavy rains, which are becoming increasingly common with fluctuating weather patterns worldwide. Producers are also often left to let crops rot in their fields because the market price is lower than the cost of harvesting (as has been the case with the coffee crisis in much of Central America over the past few years). To offset such risks, commitment and solidarity with the producer is needed; consumers must balance their desire to get the largest quantity of food for the lowest possible price with an understanding of the true cost of growing food and the challenges faced in producing it.

Based on the idea of reflecting the real cost of production rather than paying artificial market prices, the Circulo adheres to the rules of fair trade. The Circulo’s members thus commit to pay prices that include a living wage for the workers and the cost of protecting and preserving ecosystems. Incorporating economic and environmental health into their production is an effective way to ensure the maintenance and viability of rural and indigenous communities.

Responsible Consumption

The promoters of the Circulo define ‘responsible consumers’ as people who use their judgment to assess the social, economic, cultural and environmental impacts of what they consume—‘ideas’ or ‘knowledge’ as well as goods and services. Consumers thus recognize their responsibility in the choices they make and develop a clear understanding of the market as a space within which they have the power to influence political, economic and social change. Being a responsible consumer goes beyond: making conscious consumer decisions and changing buying practices, encompassing the adoption of cultural and lifestyle changes as well. In terms of food, for many people these changes include:

- Understanding the type of production one supports (conventional or alternative);
- Increasing one’s awareness of organic agriculture, seasonality and natural cycles;
- Supporting local growers through the transition from conventional to organic agriculture, as well as those who have already achieved full organic certification.

Active Citizenship

Raising awareness among even only a few of the six million people living in the City of Guadalajara has had a positive impact on the lives of families in the surrounding countryside. Through participation in the environmental and fair trade movement locally and internationally, the JEC model has had a global impact on how these issues are both thought about and addressed in practice. Making informed consumer choices is a big part of becoming an active in shaping society. One’s activism can have a larger impact when people become engaged in a growing global movement to support more just systems.

What is the impact of alternative models such as the Circulo? If compared to the much larger number of consumers who continue to shop at supermarkets, the impact of their purchases [Cont on page 50]
Planning for Regional Food Systems:
Web-based Organizations Take the Lead

By Loren Talbot

Planners are recognizing the increasing need to focus on how food travels from field to plate. They are not alone. While a recent Time Magazine article reported that an average of U.S.-grown produce travels 1,500 miles from farm to plate, a significant segment of the community is demanding change. As factory farms have commandeered supersheds, shelves, food advocates have taken a leading role in regional foodsheds planning. Furthermore, in an effort to ensure a healthier food supply and at the same time preserve open space in suburban and rural areas, preservation of family farms has become a high priority in many communities. Rather than allowing the growth of urban centers to obliterate family farms and push food production farther away from our cities, planners of the future need to take into consideration the renaissance of web-based family farming. Using online databases and Geographic Information Systems (GIS), nonprofit agencies are creating new connections between farmers and food consumers. As a result, planners can once again consider the family farm as a viable community component.

New Groups Rise to the Challenge

FoodRoutes in Milheim, Pennsylvania is one of the groups working to create these connections. When FoodRoutes directly with ten nonprofits around the country, FoodRoutes has launched a "Buy Fresh, Buy Local" campaign whereby organizations can create and implement a "Buy Local" marketing campaign to try to bridge the gaps between community farmers and consumers. Members can download logos and gain access to project lists, copyright registration and customizable campaign partnership documents. "The internet is the way we disseminate our information," says Jesse Durst, the site's manager. "The toolbox for 'Buy Local' is only available through internet applications." FoodRoutes also has an extensive library with downloadable materials and fact sheets, the content of which ranges from urban sprawl to how to cultivate schools as "Buy Local" purchasers.

Another organization, Community Alliance with Family Farmers (CAFF), based in Davis, California, uses a mapping tool to create an internet infrastructure for food-advocacy organizations. CAFF founded in 1978 as a response to job loss on farms brought about by the introduction of the mechanical tomato harvester, has brought together farmers and marketing experts in an attempt to organize activity on policy and marketing. Its GIS-based software allows community farmers in region by type of product, type of supplier, zip code or state.

Local Harvest enables internet users to map regional farms' locations as well as find addresses, contact information and farm facts. Farmers can update their information, participate in discussions and read and respond to consumers' comments. Local Harvest receives approximately 22,000 page views a day, making it a key website in the "buy local" movement.

Creation of New Markets

Over the last six months I have interviewed eight people across the country about their involve- ment in food production and food issues, their ways of doing business and their use of the internet. Four were farmers, four were food advocates. Interviews were via email or in person, taped and transcribed.

Goat farmer Debbie Burns of Beulah Land Homestead in Northern Texas claimed most of her customers for all three of her businesses through the internet. She is listed on Local Harvest, as well as Homestead's website and a website for the Nigerian Dwarf Goat Association. "The internet is our store front. Without it, advertising costs would be prohibitive and the lack of exposure would greatly curtail our sales," says Burns. As an active farmer, Burns is not in the house often enough to field phone calls, so she lets her customers contact her through email is ideal.

Farmers seeking new markets and consumers seeking new sources are a perfect match. The community supported agriculture (CSA) movement is flourishing around the country as consumers become involved in buying from between farmers and land stewardship. Members of CSAs pay in advance to cover the anticipated costs of the farm operation and receive in return weekly deliveries of fresh produce, baked goods and dairy products. "The director of CAFF noted that the day after the Los Angeles Times ran an article on CSAs, the site had 4,000 visitors. "This," says Peterson, "shows an incredible demand on the part of the consumer to connect with deeper sources of food." For CSA members, their affiliation with an area farm expands their understanding of the regional foodshed and supports local economies. New York City is home to over twenty-seven CSAs, many of which have websites to help foster relationships between local communities and supporting farms. "By becoming a member," the Chelsea CSA website states, "one creates a responsible relationship between people and the food they eat, the land on which it is grown and those who grow it."

The Newest Farming Tool: The Internet

In 2000, the USDA began offering web-based classes as mandated by Congress. This enabled farmers to learn about new farming techniques without leaving the farm. Yet while farmers are going online in increasing numbers, the digital divide still exists in many rural farming communities. While the majority of the country has access to dial-up internet access, broadband access has not yet reached many rural areas. To help curb the costs of installing high-priced cable networks, many states are enacting public and private support. North Carolina, for instance, has partnered with Sprint, Verizon and Bell Atlantic to increase the number of households online, reported at 20 percent by the Rural Internet Access Authority. While many farmers may have the technology to go online, the slow speed of available service can inhibit them from using the computer as a business tool.

For some, the internet is influencing what is plant- ed on the farm. Rebecca Burkhart-Smith of Echo Valley Farm purchased a rare variety of heirloom tomatoes on a gourmet website and planted them according to guidelines she found in a gardening textbook. "I did an internet search and we came up number one. I almost fell off my chair," she says. "Because of our listing, we might actually be able to make a profit as a small farm today." While sales may drastically differ from farm to farm, the internet is an area fraught with land issues, economics and food inequity.

Connecting Communities

Further from urban centers the issues are more acute. As small rural farmers struggle to stay in business, non-urban communities seek new ways to market themselves. Gary Gunn, director of the Appalachian Sustainable Agriculture Project (ASAP), sees North Carolina and the Southern Appalachians providing "a safe and nutritious food supply for all segments of society, that is produced, marketed and distributed in an available, that enhances human and environmental health, and that adds economic and social value to rural and urban communities." The ASAP's website at appalachian-sustainable-agriculture.org, connects consumers to local farmers markets, community supported agriculture groups (CSAs) and restaurants, as well as local caterers and bed and breakfasts in the area.

A new kind of eco-tourism is emerging in rural areas. Beulah Land Homestead offers sixteen classes on the internet. Goat milking, soap making and "simple ways to do without electricity" are offered for sale.
Women, Food and the Sustainable Economy: A Simple Relationship

By Hemalata Dandekar

The preparation and eating of food are important and intimate matters. They are especially so in traditional and societional societies where food and its preparation remains predominantly the purview of the women of the household. In these traditional societies, a cook’s judgment—adding a pinch of this or a handful of that—adds the personal stamp that gives identity to the foods prepared by an excellent cook. The comment that she has “a good hand” was the ultimate praise in my own extended family in India—an important ingredient of being a cultured and accomplished woman.

Simple “Grounded” Food

My mother’s family was from the dry, peanuts-and-millet-growing region of the Deccan Plateau, while my father’s family originated in the rice-growing, coconut-fringed coastal plains of the Konkan, in Maharashtra State, India. As Brahmins by caste, the traditional dishes were vegetarian. Both sides of the family had long abandoned their rural roots but urban culture had not erased the grounded specificity of their preferred cuisines. Pencions were in many dishes, and favorite desserts included fillings of coconut and sugar, flavored with cardamom. In the rural areas of Deccan Maharashtra, where I did my doctoral fieldwork, a preponderance of dishes is made from products grown in the local region. The majority of women from households other than the most elite and affluent cook with parsimony. Every element of a potential food source is gleaned with care; little is thrown away—the outer skin of the mango, for instance, and the flesh, the seedpod and seed are all treated in a variety of ways. I still remember the triumphant gleam in my aunt’s eyes the time she finally succeeded in conning up very palatable chutney from the outer, particularly fitter skin of a gooseberry which she normally used up to throw away. I recognized that gleam recently when my young Spanish instructor passed around a photograph, taken in South America, before consumption of a guacamole piled high. My instructor’s comment that the crispy whisks were delicious was a reminder that this parsimony and relish of the whole food is a characteristic of much of the cooking, baking and food preparation in the third world.

Simply Served and Eaten

The basic eating and cooking rituals in most parts of India are characterized by simplicity tempered by custom. In my part of Maharashtra, foods are always served in a strictly defined order on platters or thalais—large brass (now stainless steel) platters, up to four inches in diameter, with raised edges. In the coastal area of the Konkan, where the banana trees are plentiful, meals, especially at feast for marriages and births, are served on fresh-cut banana leaves. In the upland plateau region of the Deccan, round plates and bowls are fashioned out of a particular type of dried leaves stitched together with small twigs. Now plastics and Styrofoam containers have largely supplanted these highly disposable and biodegradable ones, except in the more remote parts of the countryside.

The meal is eaten using only the right hand. Strictly speaking, food is never wiped, only the fingers are used and the palms of the hand must remain dry and clean. To allow curry to dribble down your arm is considered poor form. Pieces of chapati are torn off using only the right hand (a technique that can be learned only by observing the skilled) and wrapped around vegetables and pickles, or used to scoop curry into one’s mouth. Rice, too, is mixed with other food and eaten with one’s fingers. Some people will use a spoon, for the accompanying curry, but this is not the norm and most meals are served without any cutlery. The left hand is used to drink water, to wave away the flies (important, especially in the rural areas) and to help oneself to more food. After one has started eating, the right hand is not used to serve oneself, since that would be defiling the food that is served to everyone from the common pot.
Some Simple Basics

There are several basic steps in Indian cooking. One of the most important is the preparation of an oil and spice base, which in Marathi is called a phodani, to which vegetables, cooked beans, rice, lentils and other ingredients are added. The purpose of a phodani is to cook the various spices in oil to the different temperatures needed to draw out their full flavor. A properly made phodani causes the cook and spectators to cough and sniffle. If this happens, continue undaunted; a successful phodani is underway.

For those who assume there is a spice called curry, I have disappointing news. The word curry does not even exist in the Marathi language. In English, the word curry is used to describe a wide range of stew-like dishes made from vegetables, dried beans and lentils, meat, fish or fowl, but it does not refer to any one of a combination of spices. The confusion stems from the fact that all these dishes contain some combination of hot, aromatic spices that give the liquid sauce a mouth-watering, spicy-hot flavor. The various mixtures that are sold as “curry powder” in Western countries resemble different combinations of spices called masalas in Marathi. Self-respecting Indian cooks make their own special masalas—wet masala, made and used day-to-day, or dried varieties that can be stored and used over a period of ten or twelve dishes.

Simple Dishes

Red Lentil and Rice Khichadi
Khichadi, the most basic of meals, a one-dish complement of rice and lentil combination, is widely consumed all over India and understood to be nutritious and healthy. It is India’s chicken soup. Bhima, the strongest of the five brothers in the epic Mahabharata, favored this dish, which we all learned as children, helped maintain his great strength. It is made from rice, widely cultivated lentil and spices grown locally or in the region.

Basic Ingredients
1 c. rice (preferably long-grain)
1 c. masoor dal (red lentils)
1 T. vegetable oil
4 dried red chilies, broken in half
1 bay leaf
1 tsp. cumin seeds
1 tsp. turmeric
1 tsp. sugar
2 tsp. salt

Heat the oil in a cast-iron pan. Add cumin, bay leaf and red chilies. When cumin browns, add turmeric and stir until it turns color. Add rice and lentils and stir until they are coated with the oil (about 2 or 3 minutes). Add 4 cups of water, salt, and sugar, and bring to a boil. Continue boiling for a minute or two, lower heat and cover. Simmer for 10 or 15 minutes, until rice and lentils are soft, stirring occasionally to prevent sticking. Add water if necessary.

Other Bean Khichadi
Khichadi can be prepared with other lentils or beans, such as, urad dal, black-eyed peas, mangos beans and European lentils. If the lentils and beans are sprouted, the khichadi is particularly delicious. European lentils cook quicker than rice, so, with a plain lentil khichadi, add the rice first and fry it well before adding lentils. With black-eyed peas, add the peas first. Khichadi can be made substituting ghee or butter for the oil and using fresh green chilies. This has a different and very good taste.

Sajna or Upmaa
Ideal for all those who love cream of wheat (and perhaps even those who don’t) sindhi, known in South India as upmaas, is hot and spicy; there is a sweet version of the same dish. Sajna is served for breakfast in South India and as a mid-afternoon snack in Maharastha. It can also be served for lunch with yogurt and/or a salad. Very nutritious and quick to make, it is an important dish to master. It is very successful taken along on picnics and served cold with yogurt salad or chutney.

Basic Ingredients
1 c. cream of wheat
1 c. onions, finely chopped
1 T. vegetable oil
1 or 2 dried red chilies, broken in half, or fresh green chilies, cut in pieces (to taste)
1 tsp. cumin seeds
1 tsp. turmeric
3 T. water (split black gram, optional)
1 c. peanuts, preferably raw, with skins (to taste)
1 tsp. salt
2 to 3 c. boiling water

Heat the cream of wheat in a cast iron pan, stirring constantly (as it tends to burn), until it is slightly toasted and turns a darker yellow-brown. The cream of wheat gives off a delicate fragrance and sounds slightly grainy, like sand, when it is stirred. Remove from pan and set aside.

Have all ingredients pre-measured and at-hand, as the pace picks up here and the spices can get burned. Heat oil in the cast iron pan. Add cumin, bay leaf and chilies. When the chilies begin to sizzle, add turmeric and stir. When turmeric turns dark yellow, add the urad dal, peanuts, salt and onions. Stir and cook until the onions are a golden yellow. Add the cream of wheat and 2 cups boiling water. The cream of wheat will expand rapidly and absorb the water. Stir, turn down the heat, cover and cook for 5 minutes until the cream of wheat feels soft to the touch and tastes “done.” Additional water (up to one cup) may have to be added before the cream of wheat is fully cooked. A dish of sajna is delicious garnished with fresh grated coconut and chopped fresh coriander or with some plain yogurt.

Variations
1. Omit the yogurt and add two tablespoons lemon or lime juice on the salad.
2. Omit the rice and add 2 cups shredded lettuce and 3 tablespoons lemon juice.
3. Omit the onions and substitute scallions or finely chopped, preferably unpeeled, cucumbers.
4. Add thinly sliced or freshly chopped bell peppers.
5. With a spicy meat dish like beef or mutton curry, a salad consisting of only onions or onions and yogurt is delicious and helps to cut the greasy taste of the meat. Follow the above procedure, using only onions or onions and yogurt.

Hemalata Dondale is head of the School of Planning and Landscape Architecture at Arizona State University. For additional recipes of Maharasthrian food, see Hemalata Dondale’s Beyond Curry, Quick and Easy Indian Cuisine From Maharasthra State, Center for South and Southeast Asia, The University of Michigan: 1983. All illustrations courtesy of Hemalata Dondale.
Planners Discover Food: A Report on Two Roundtables

By Joe Nasr

As others have noted in this issue, the urban planning profession has long tangled a range of major urban systems and sectors, from transportation and other physical infrastructures to the social systems that support urban population groups. This range of fields has expanded over the years so that the profession now brings some knowledge of issues such as public health and disaster prevention. Yet one of the most fundamental systems that support human existence—that of food provision—has largely been untouched by urban planners. In his 2002 call for papers a special issue of the Journal of Planning Education and Research (JPER), Jerome Kahn identified the food system as the only important community system that has been missing from the radar of both planning scholars and practitioners. This is a puzzling omission since planning as a discipline is based on the premise of a strong claim to be comprehensive in scope and attentive to linkages and interrelationships among community functional systems. The reasons for this blindness are likely complex; the belief that this system falls exclusively to the private sector; the lack of thinking of food in terms of being an urban system; and the association between food and agriculture, which has long been seen as the quintessential rural function.

This disconnect between urban agri-food systems and the planning world may be finally narrowing. One of the most significant causes of this behavior discovery of access to food as a basic planning issue—one that could be on par with access to shelter, land, mobility or other urban necessities—is the newly dominant concern for the unsustainability of existing practices and patterns in urban areas. Other driving factors include rising worries about the loss of productive farmland, availability of an ever-expanding stock of idle lands in the inner cities and growth in problems of obesity and malnutrition, which often come to the topic from a variety of angles and bring it to a range of backgrounds.

This great diversity of approaches became very clear during the two roundtables that I organized at recent conferences of the Association of Collegiate Schools of Planning “Food: The Missing Link in Planning for Sustainability” (Cleveland, Ohio, October 2001) and “Trends in Planning for Agriculture and Food on Both Sides of the North” (Leavenworth, July 2003). This paper is mainly based on notes from these two meetings. All the planning researchers who chose to attend these two fora had some more “classic” planning expertise in their background, and it is through some little-noticed window of this area of expertise that they slipped in and started to consider some fragment of the urban system. It may be useful here to mention a few examples:

- The access to sources of food can be a fundamental question for transportation planners.
- In examining the longstanding challenge of reusing abandoned properties, agricultural production inevitably emerges as a prime use of vacant land.
- In the activities of community development corporations, it is not unusual for half or more of the businesses concerned to be food-related.
- Planning regulations have had significant impacts on the building and enabling on food-producing activities, particularly in peri-urban areas.

In those roundtables, some basic questions were posed, some of the discussion. These questions are worth posing again, to the much larger audience that this publication represents.

- Why are food and agriculture a missing anchor for the planning discipline?
- Why should they be an integral component of the activities of planners, and how could they be integrated into planning?
- How should food system issues be brought to planners and taught to them?

The signs are there—as evidenced in the breadth of articles in this special issue and the upcoming one in JPER, cited above—that planners are (finally) discovering food as a basic issue about which they should be interested, concerned, competent, relevant. Having built up a substantial body of knowledge of—and corps of experts in—urban systems, planners have a contribution to make to advancing the formulation of this most basic of human needs.

Joe Nasr is a research associate at the Centre for Studies in Food Security, Ryerson University, Toronto, and vice-president of the Urban Agriculture Network, Washington, DC.

PUBLICATIONS

The African American Experience in Cyberspace: A Resource Guide to the Best Web Sites on Black Culture & History, by Abdul Hakim Shabazz (ed.) (pp. 191-222), 2003, has been published by Pluto Press.

"Strengthening Communities of Color Through Leadership Development" is a September 2003 report from Policy Link, drawing on interviews with more than 100 leaders from the public sector, private industry, academia & nonprofit. A scan of 72 leadership development programs & literature & review, to explain why there are so few leaders of color making policy. Hand copy from Policy Link, 101 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94607; info@policylink.org; downloadable from their website, www.policylink.org.

"Vietnamese Americans: Diaspora & Dimensions" ed. Linda Vo, is the 280-page, 2003 (23.v) Issue of American Journal, 517 from the UCLA Asian American Studies Center, 23720 Campbell Hall, Box 951546, LA, CA 90095-1546, tel. 310-825-2278, thanochu@ucla.edu.


"TANF & the Status of Teen Mothers under Age 18," by Gregory Acy & Heather Kolfau (June 2003), is available (free) from The Urban Institute, 202/621-5615, kmwolraf@urban.org.

"More Welfare Leavers Employed in a Weak Economy," by Pamela Loprest (pp. Aug. 2003), is available (free) from The Urban Institute, 2105 M St. NW, Washington DC 20007, 202/623-7700, annfin@urban.org or www.urban.org.

"Whose Vote Counts?" is an hour-long radio documentary, with a major segment on felony disenfranchisement. Transcript & multimedia can be found at www.americannewsworks.com/features/voting/index.html.

RESOURCES


"AAPI Needs Asian American & Pacific Islander Policy, Practice & Community" is a new (2003) national journal from the UCLA Asian American Studies Center. The initial issue examines community development by policy advocates and applied social scientists from across the nation. Subscriptions to the semi-annual are $25 for individuals, $55 for institutions. Complimentary copy of 1st issue is $4. The Center is at 3230 Campbell Hall, Box 951539, LA, CA 90095-1546, fhuochai@ucla.edu.


"The Seventh Generation: Native Students Speak About Finding the Good Path," by Amy Bertram, Linda Miller Cleary & Thomas D. Peacecor (2003), is available from the EBC Clearinghouse, Clearinghouse on Rural Educ. & Small Schools, PO Box 1348, Charleston, WV 25325-1348, eric@ericdigest.org or www.eric.org/eric.


"Living Wage Campaign Directory" (6 pp., May 2002) is available (possibly free) from the Nat'l. Coal. for the Homeless, 1012 14th St. NW, DC, 20005, Tel: 202-757-6444, info@nationalhomeless.org or www.nationalhomeless.org.

**Resources for Food and Planning**

**General Food Issues**

**Community Food Security Coalition**

[www.foodsecurity.org](http://www.foodsecurity.org)

**Community Supported Agriculture (CSA) Farms by State**

[www.nal.usda.gov/ars/docs/97/csa/csaatstate.htm](http://www.nal.usda.gov/ars/docs/97/csa/csaatstate.htm)

**CSAs on the Alternative Farming Systems Information Center**

[www.nal.usda.gov/ars/docs/97/csa](http://www.nal.usda.gov/ars/docs/97/csa)

**Food Justice**


**Inner City Neighborhoods**


**City and State Food Trusts**

Philadelphia Food Trust [www.thefoodtrust.org](http://www.thefoodtrust.org)

City of Hartford Food Policy Commission [www.hartfordfood.org](http://www.hartfordfood.org)

Food Policy Councils [www.statefoodpolicy.org](http://www.statefoodpolicy.org)

**Farmers Markets**

Farm-to-Table Program [www.farmtobleprogram.org](http://www.farmtobleprogram.org)

Local Harvest Program [www.localharvest.org](http://www.localharvest.org)

Food Routes [www.foodroutes.org](http://www.foodroutes.org)

NY State Dept. of Agriculture & Markets [www.ag.gov.state.nys.us/AF/Pages/search.aspx](http://www.ag.gov.state.nys.us/AF/Pages/search.aspx)

Appalachian Sustainable Agriculture Project [www.buyappalachian.org](http://www.buyappalachian.org)

Community Alliance with Family Farms [www.caaf.org](http://www.caaf.org)

**Tribal Food Planning**


Indian Country Today [www.indiancountry.com](http://www.indiancountry.com)
UPDATES

Linda Stone Davidoff, 1941-2003

By Tom Angotti

On the last day of 2003, one of the nation’s leading advocacy planners left us. Linda Davidoff, long-time member of Plananners Network, died of pneumonia related to acute myelogenous leukemia while on a brief vacation in Tucson.

Most people associate advocacy planning with Linda’s first husband, Paul Davidoff, who died in 1984. But Linda played a central role in the development of both the art and practice of advocacy for those marginalized by planning and excluded from political power.

Among her many achievements, Linda launched a national effort that led to the passage of the National Voter Registration Act in 1993 ("Motor Voter"). She worked as an advocate for a woman’s right to choose abortion and headed several non-profit organizations, including the Parks Council, New York League of Conservation Voters, and Citizen Action of New York.

Linda was most recently executive director of the Citizens Union and Citizens Union Foundation in New York City. In her three years there she invigorated this liberal civic group and was the first publisher of Gotham Gazette, an award-winning on-line resource on public policy and issues in New York City. Gotham Gazette helped to open up public debate on a wide range of issues by mixing provocative commentaries with basic information about local policy. I am grateful to Linda for inviting me to write Gotham’s monthly Land Use column.

Linda’s 1997 article in Panorama, the student-produced journal at the University of Pennsylvania Department of City Planning, Urban Development and Public Policy (where she received her Masters in planning) provides clues to her philosophy: “The dialogue about public life and urban communities in the United States today is in a primitive state. Americans express indifference or hostility to their institutions of government; affluent Americans are fleecing urban communities to live in insulated, walled and gated private communities... At this depressing time in the life of the public dialogue in America, we need to focus on how to find ways to place our lever and find our fulcrum so we can begin to redress, demystify, and self-evidently society to give a little—exposing the fault lines but also exposing pathways that lead to a richer community life.”

One of Linda’s great qualities was a perennial optimism when staring in the face of great odds. She worked hard to get people elected, and was Ruth Messinger’s first campaign manager, until an unsuccessful 1997 campaign for mayor of New York. She had also managed Elizabeth Holtzman’s losing 1980 bid for the Senate.

One important victory of Linda’s was negotiating an alternative plan to Donald Trump’s proposed develop- ment on the West Side of Manhattan. As director of the Parks Council, Linda played a leading role in win- ning a 22-acre waterfront park, reduced by half in the amount of building space for Trump, some afford- able housing, and removal and re-routing of an elevat- ed highway. While she firmly acknowledged her work among elite civic groups, she never lost her commitment to principles of equity. The conclusion to her Panorama article says a lot about Linda.

“The planning profession has both the mark of greatness—the Chicago plan, Broadacre City, the good side of Robert Moses, Jane Jacobs—and the mark of Cain—the bad side of Robert Moses, the decline of great cities, and the erosion of civic spirit. The profession constantly rides the scale as a corps of minor civil servants who labor in obscurity while developers and the elected officials who depend on the developers’ campaign contributions make all the important decisions. Faced with the building boom, the email address will remain the same (mara.kenner@wush.com) for my permanent home address (35 Rodman St. Jamaica Pl, 11430).

Update from P Nevada Marie Kennedy After 25 years at the College of Public and Community Service at the University of Massachusetts Boston, I’m taking advan- tage of the state’s very attractive early retirement incentive after this semester. My short term plans are to spend 7 months in Mexico improving my Spanish language ability while my hus- band Chris Tilly researches the impact of globalzation on Mexico’s retail industry with the assistance of Fulbright and Rockefeller grants. Long term, who knows? In the meantime, the email address will remain the same (mara.kenner@wush.com) for my permanent home address (35 Rodman St. Jamaica Pl, 11430) for my permanent home address (35 Rodman St. Jamaica Pl, 11430).”

Update from P Nevada Rex Carney about a recent publica- tion of relevance to planners: Good Deeds, Good Design: Community Service Through Architecture, Bryan Bell (Editor), 2004 Princeton Architectural Press. A fascinating set of essays recounting the experi- ence of progressive architects and planners throughout the USA. A link for purchase is available through the Association for Community Design ACD website http://www.communitydesign.org/main/new_reports.jsp (pnt: http://www.sparkpress.com). From P Nevada Joe Feinberg Just returned from a three week volunteer assignment in South Africa where CHE International is involved in furthering the use of cooperative housing in meeting low and moderate income housing needs.

Update from P Nevada Daniel Lusher I’ve just pubished my first book that isn’t a career book it’s called Dream It Do It: Inspiring Stories of Dreams Come True by Sharon Cook and Gricelką Sholander. The authors studied hundreds of success- ful dream achievers to find out what makes them tick. In addition to providing guidance to help you revieve your dreams, they identified 10 traits common to dream achievers that they call the elements of “Dream CPR.” To illustrate how each of these traits contributes to actually achieving dreams, they present riveting accounts of how 37 real-life dream achievers made their dreams come true; famous people like Lance Armstrong, Morgan Angela, Jimmy and Rosalyn Carter, Tiger Woods, Barbara Walters, Harrison Ford, and not-so-famous folk like the first female space commander Eileen Collins, "Vegetable" creator Phil Vischer, one-legged ski champion Jake Kenney, the Colorado school teacher whose pupils have freed thousands of slaves in the Sudan, and Janet Fennell who survived kidnaping in a car trunk to successfully lobby Congress to enact the law that now requires every car trunk to have an inside trunk release. Get the full scoop on Dream It Do It on our website at http://dreamitdoit.net—see the table of contents, sample chapters, reviews by the experts, and more. • P Nevada Gary Fields (Assistant Professor University of California, San Diego) has recently published a book entitled Territories of Profit: Communication, Capitalism, Development and the Innovative Enterprises of G.E. Swift and Dell Computer, 2003 Stanford University Press. Regarding the book, P Nevada Ann Markusen (University of Minnesota) states: "Gary Fiedler’s strangely original book shows us why we need an ‘actor-centered’ approach to regional development. Fiedler’s comprehensive study provides an essential frame- work for understanding the rise of the so-called ‘new economy’. He shows how, in the effort to support the innovation process, he deftly slays several very sacred cows of the new economy.... Anyone who has been involved in the digital revolution will feel apocalyptic societal and geographical change or a kinder, gentler networked world should read this book cover to cover!. For more information about the book, please visit www.sup.org.

Planners Network received a grant of $17,500 from Fannie Mae Foundation for 2003.

Progressive Planning Magazine is now available in two New York City bookstores: Urban Center Books, 455 Madison Ave. # 51st St and Labyrinth Books, 512th St. between Broadway and Amsterdam.

Planners Network will have a booth at the American Planning Association Conference in Washington, DC April 24-28, 2004. Any P Nevada’s who are planning to go to the conference and are interested in helping work the booth should contact me at tango@hunter.cuny.edu or 212-650-3190.
example, the Dane County farmers market on Madison's Capitol Square attracts an average of 20,000 people every Saturday from late April through late October. The market hosts 200 vendors and is the largest producers-only farmers market in the United States. The market delivers a tangible economic value to downtown Madison; studies calculate that customers of the market spend on average $15-20 at surrounding businesses.

Not only are planners concerned about local economic development, but creating and maintaining community social spaces is also part of their purview. Farmers markets are social gathering places and help build social capital; studies have shown that cities with large numbers of social gathering places tend to attract residents.

How a Planning Department Can Encourage Projects

A city’s planning department can greatly assist local agriculture’s processing, distribution and retailing initiatives by lending its expertise in site identification and facility design. Because projects such as CAFI have many specific (and potentially conflicting) locations, city planners can share their knowledge of traffic flows, parking, pedestrian access, visibility and zoning regulations—all important considerations for a facility. Planning departments can also assist with feasibility studies, business plans and local market research, and can share their expertise about the local development scene, site acquisition and the

Schamie [cont. from page 37]

findings, at large grocery chains and markets where the rules of fair trade do not apply, one might assess the impact and influence as minimal. However, regardless of whether or not this model has the potential to challenge or put a dent in the global food system, what is important is that this project has a very real and direct impact on people’s lives. Families in the countryside are breaking away from a cycle of poverty and sickness due to pesticide poisoning and malnutrition; families consuming their products are feeling better about the quality of the food they eat and the knowledge that the money they spend goes directly to those who produced it. They are also aware that they are contributing to improving the environmental, labor and economic conditions of the people who grow their food for them. For these reasons alone, this project is not only significant but also crucial.

Here in Canada and the United States, less effort is required of consumers to make changes that would significantly benefit local food producers. CSAs, for example, are becoming increasingly common; there development process in general. And because of their extensive knowledge of local government funding sources, planners can also provide advice about financing strategies for local agricultural projects.

In Madison, the CAFI project was conceived of and initiated by a collective of local farmers who received a grant from the Dane County Planning & Development Department to create a feasibility study. Because farmers and community groups are not particularly knowledgeable about the development process, it is extremely helpful to acquire expertise from planners. Planners also have skills in building coalitions of organizations to work toward common goals, which can be very helpful to the start-up of a local agricultural initiative.

While the CAFI project is in the early feasibility and business plan stages, already opportunities for planner involvement have surfaced. The City of Madison’s Department of Planning and Development is enthusiastic about the proposed CAFI project, and it has offered assistance in the areas mentioned above. Its partnership will make a critical difference in the ease of and speed with which the CAFI project can proceed. Similar projects can and should be replicated elsewhere to strengthen local and regional food economies.

Laura Schamie is a masters student in the Department of Urban and Regional Planning at the University of Wisconsin-Madison.

Schamie [cont. from page 37]

are over 1,000 CSA farms in the US and Canada. To find one, all one has to do is ask around through local NGOs, community centers, food co-ops or health food stores, or look on the Internet. Other models that promote buying from local farmers also exist, including organic food delivery businesses where one can choose locally grown organic produce delivered to one’s door. For more information, see UMass Extension: What is Community Supported Agriculture and How Does It Work?

Danielle Schamie spent four months in Mexico in 2002 with the Jalisco Ecological Collective toproduce a documentary video about the circle of responsible production and consumption as the final research project for her Master’s in Environmental Studies and Planning (MES) at York University in Toronto, Canada. In addition to her MES, she also has a background in cultural anthropology, agriculture and international development. Danielle can be contacted at dshamie@yorku.ca.

This article previously appeared in Peace and Environment News in December, 2003.

For three decades, Planners Network has been a voice for progressive professionals and activists concerned with urban, rural, social, and environmental justice. PNs 1,000 members receive the Planners Network magazine, contain online with Planners and the E-Newsletter, and take part in the annual conference. PN also gives progressive ideas a voice in national conferences. The American Planning Association, the Canadian Institute of Planners, and the Association of College Schools of Planning.

The PN Conference has been held annually almost every summer since 1994. These gatherings combine speakers and workshops with exchanges involving local communities. PN conferences engage in discussions that help inform political strategies at the local, national, and international levels. Recent conferences have been held in Hobart, MA; Toronto, Ontario; Lowell, MA; Esst Elst, Louis, IL, Brooklyn, NY, and Pomona, CA.

Join Planners Network and make a difference while sharing your ideas and enthusiasm with others. All members must pay annual dues. The minimum dues for PNs Network members are as follows:

$25 for individual income under $25,000
$25 for subscription to Planning only
$35 for income between $25,000 and $50,000
$50 for income over $50,000, organizations and libraries

Sustaining Members – If you earn over $50,000, will you consider helping at this level?

Canadian members:
See column at right.

Dues are deductible to the extent permitted by law.

PN MEMBERS IN CANADA

Membership fees by Canadian members may be paid in Canadian funds:
$35 for students, unemployed, and those with incomes $40,000
$55 for those with incomes between $40,000 and $80,000
$75 for those with incomes over $80,000

$150 for sustaining members

Make checks in Canadian funds payable to: “Planners Network” and send to membership form to:
Barbara Rahder, Faculty of Environmental Studies
York University
Toronto, Ontario M5J 1P3

If interested in joining the PN Toronto listserv, include your email address with payment or send a message to Barbara Rahder at rahder@yorku.ca.

PURCHASING A SINGLE ISSUE

Progressive Planning is a benefit of membership. If non-members wish to purchase a single issue of the magazine, please mail a check for $10 or credit card information to Planners Network at 379 Dekalb Ave. Brooklyn, NY 11205. Please specify the issue and provide your email address or a phone number for queries. Multiple back issues are $6 each.

Back issues of the newsletters are for sale as $2 per copy. Contact the PN office at pn@pratt.edu to check for availability and for pricing of bulk orders.

Copies of the PN Reader are also available. The single issue price for the Reader is $6 but there are discounts available for bulk orders.

See ordering and contact information at http://www.plannersnetwork.org/hs/pub/pn-reader/index.html

PLANNERS NETWORK ON LINE

The PN WEB SITE is at: www.plannersnetwork.org

The PN LISTSERV:
PN maintains an on-line mailing list for members to post and respond to queries, list postings, conference announcements, etc. To join, send an email message to majordomo@list.pratt.edu with "subscribe pn-net" (without the quotes) in the body of the message (not the subject line). You'll be sent instructions on how to use the list.

Progressive Planning ADVERTISING RATES:

For advertising in Progressive Planning, please contact:

Myra L. Dobkin
305-250-1234

Full page $250

Half page $175

Quarter page $75

One page $40

PN maintains an on-line mailing list for members to post and respond to queries, list postings, conference announcements, etc. To join, send an email message to majordomo@list.pratt.edu with "subscribe pn-net" (without the quotes) in the body of the message (not the subject line). You'll be sent instructions on how to use the list.

Progressive Planning ADVERTISING RATES:

For advertising in Progressive Planning, please contact:

Myra L. Dobkin
305-250-1234

Full page $250

Half page $175

Quarter page $75

One page $40

Send file via email to pn@pratt.edu, or mail camera-ready copy to:
Planners Network
379 Dekalb Ave.
Brooklyn, NY 11205

INTERNATIONAL MEMBERS: Please send US funds as we are unable to accept payment in another currency. Thanks.

Yes! I want to join progressive planners and work towards fundamental change.

My contribution is ______ Make checks payable to: PLANNERS NETWORK

My credit card is Visa MC American Express Card No. Exp. date ______

Billing address (if different from below) ______

Mail This Form To: Planners Network
379 Dekalb Ave.
Brooklyn, NY 11205
In This Issue

Upcoming Conference in New York
Organic Gardening in Southwestern Indian Communities
Planners Discover Food

Your Last Issue?

Please check the date on your mailing label. If the date is more than one year ago this will be your last issue unless we receive your annual dues RIGHT AWAY! See page 43 for minimum dues amounts.

And while you’re at it send us an UPDATE on what you’re doing.

MOVING?
Please send us your new address.